

OHIO TURNPIKE AND INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION

ADDENDUM NO. 2

PROJECT NO. 43-19-07

BRIDGE REHABILITATION AND 3RD LANE WIDENING OHIO TURNPIKE OVER AI CREEK M.P. 47.4, OHIO TURNPIKE OVER S.R. 64 (N. MAIN ST.) M.P. 47.5 MILEPOST 46.50 TO MILEPOST 50.92 FULTON AND LUCAS COUNTIES, OHIO

EXTENDED TO 2:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME), JANUARY 4 JANUARY 10, 2019

ATTENTION OF BIDDERS IS DIRECTED TO:

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 3:00 P.M. ON DECEMBER 21, 2018 -AND-**MODIFICATIONS TO THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS** Plan Sheets: 8, 9, 11, and 122 of 349

Issued by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission by Anthony D. Yacobucci, Chief Engineer, and Mark R. Musson, Director of Contracts Administration.

12/21/18 Date

Anthony D. Yacobucci

Mark R. Musson

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 3:00 P.M. ON DECEMBER 21, 2018:

- Q#14 Due to Project 49-19-03 letting on 12.21.18 and the likelihood of prebid questions taking place during the Holiday season, the Contractor does not have sufficient time to prepare the bid. Would OTIC consider delaying the bid date one week?
- A#14 Through this Addendum No. 2, the Commission extends the Bid Opening to 2:00 P.M. (Eastern) on Thursday, January 10, 2019.

Q#15 Would it be permissible to place construction access points between the structures for Phases 3 & 4?

- A#15 Temporary Access Deduct Alternate proposals will be considered on this Project. The Contractor shall comply with all requirements of the Contract Documents, including but not limited to SP104 and SP109. The Commission will amend the Bid Schedule of Items and Estimated Quantities Worksheet through Addendum No. 3.
- Q#16 Would the Turnpike Commission please consider a week delay in regards to the bid date due to the focus of potential bidders being on project 43-19-03 (bids 12/21) while also providing some consideration to the Holiday Schedule preceding the bid date of 01/04/2019. Some of the bidders have not had a chance to submit many pre-bid questions as of yet, and a delay would also aid this scenario. Should this request be granted it would be greatly appreciated.
- A#16 See the response to Q#14.
- Q#17 Stormwater BMPS are all covered under line items in the proposal along with SWPPP Inspection and Management covered under SP 113. There is a lump sum line item for 832 Erosion Control, but no direction as to what work to include under this item. Please clarify the work to be completed under this line item.
- A#17 This Addendum No. 2 revises the ADDITIONAL CONTROLS note on Plan Sheet 122 of 349 to reflect that the unit price bid shall be LUMP SUM. No quantity revisions are required as a result of this revision.

Q#18 Please provide all available bridge and roadway soil borings.

A#18 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.

- Q#19 SP404A Joint Sealer is calculated for only 1 length of both Westbound & Eastbound. The note on sheet 8 of the Typical Sections say it is to be applied to the vertical face of both the SP402 & SP402 and the SP404A in the Special Provisions say to apply it to all cold longitudinal joints. Will this item be paid for each application of the joint sealer or will it be paid only for the length calculated regardless of how many cold longitudinal joints are placed (between lanes/shoulder, phase joints, etc.)?
- A#19 The Commission revises Plan Sheets 8, 9 and 11 of 349 through this Addendum No. 2 to add Balloon 17, SP 404A – Joint Sealer to all vertical faces created by a saw cut. The item will be paid only for the calculated length of the vertical face regardless of the number of pavement courses placed adjacent to it. No quantity revisions are required as a result of this revision.

Q#20 Page 134 Please clarify where D-1 is not shown on the Plan and Profile

- A#20 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#21 D-12 on sheet 139/349 shows an existing 15" cross over pipe, and Sheet 172 shows a 18" pipe? please confirm pipe size.
- A#21 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#22 D-55 on sheet 147 It appears that the 50' of Bore and Jack pipe is not enough length to get under both the ramp and the mainline pavements. How is pavement removed and replaced being paid for.
- A#22 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#23 The Ref. No. show on sheet 154 of the plan and profile at station 126+64 shows D-70. Should this be D-71
- *A#23* The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#24 Inlet at station 126+94 is missing the quantity for the 15" outlet pipe (4') and also the removal of this pipe
- *A#24 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.*

Q#25 Inlet shown at station 129+75 is missing from the Sub Summary page

A#25 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.

- Q#26 X-Section sheet 164 Please review stations 1299+00 and 1300+00. It appears that the area in the center is listed as a fill, in the end area calculation and should be listed as a cut.
- A#26 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#27 Please review the completion date for this project, looking at some of the major items of work you have 252 shifts. If the contractor is allowed to start work on February 1st there is 259 calendar days to the October 18th completion date. Per SP120A you are to build 42 weather days into your schedule from February to October, leaving you with 217 days to complete work on this project. Also, holiday restrictions could potentially cut this down by another 14 days.
- A#27 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#28 Will the guardrail height need to be adjusted after placing Class A, Type 2 Pavement on the existing shoulder? If so, please add a bid item for this work.
- A#28 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#29 Please provide more detail for Ref. 97 Covering of Existing Catch Basin Grate. There are no notes or details for this work in the plan set.
- A#29 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#30 Can ODOT asphalt mixes be used in lieu of OTIC asphalt mixes called out in OTIC Standard Drawing XOV-2?
- A#30 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#31 Sheet 1 of the plans calls out ODOT Supplemental Specification 832 dated 10/19/18. This version of the supplemental spec requires the use of SWPPPTrack Software. Is the intent to mandate the Contractor use SWPPPTrack and the current ODOT 832 inspection requirements?
- A#31 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.
- Q#32 This project bids on January 4, 2019. The Commission's Award/Resolution meeting is scheduled for January 28, 2019. SP103 Items A through C are all time-sensitive milestone

dates for starting work on this project (item A calls for the NTP upon receipt of fully executed contract, item B calls for Baseline Schedule submitted within 14 days of NTP and accepted within 30 calendar days of NTP, and item C gives early access to the contractor on February 1, 2019 based on items A and B being in complete order. Will the Commission consider an earlier special award meeting date for this contract in order to give this schedule-critical project an opportunity for the February 1, 2019 start date?

A#32 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 3.

MODIFIED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

With this Addendum No. 2, the Commission substitutes the enclosed material for the following Contract Documents:

Plan Sheets: 8, 9, 11, and 122 of 349 with additions to the Plan Drawings are called out with a cloud and a revision triangle as thus:



With this Addendum No. 2, the Commission revises the notice and coverpage to extend the bid opening to 2:00 P.M. (Eastern) on *January 10, 2019*.

Receipt of Addendum No. 2 Project No. 43-19-07 is hereby acknowledged:

(Firm Name)

(Signature)

(Printed Name)

(Date)

BIDDERS MUST RETURN THE ABOVE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM NO. 2 WITH THEIR BID.



0



STA 1312+50.00 TO STA 1327+50.00 = STA 1345+50.00 TO STA 1384+16.60 (BACK) = 1,500.00 L.F. 3,866.60 L.F. STA EQ: 1384+16.60 (BACK) = STA 0+30.00 (AHEAD) STA 0+30.00 (AHEAD) TO STA 14+40.00 (BACK) = 1,410.00 L.F. STA EQ: STA 14+40.00 (BACK) = STA 14+50.00 (AHEAD) STA 14+50.00 (AHEAD) TO STA 81+00.00 = 6,650.00 L.F. STA 100+00.00 TO STA 118+40.00 = 1,840.00 L.F. STA EQ: 118+40.00 (BACK) = STA 119+00.00 (AHEAD) STA 119+00.00 TO STA 125+00.00 = 600 L.F.

PROPOSED LEGEND

1 SP 404	ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE, USING	24) ITEM 60	9 CURB, TYPE 4-A	(A) A	SPHALT CONCRETE (T=5"±)
(2) SP 402	ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE OR RECYCLED ASPHALT	(25) SP 605	6" BASE PIPE UNDERDRAIN, WITH FABRIC WRAP (18")	(в) с	ONCRETE PAVEMENT (T=10"±)
(3) SP 302	CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, PG 76-22 (FR) (T=1-3/4") ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE_PG 64-22 (T=12")	(26) SP 605	6" SHALLOW PIPE UNDERDRAIN. WITH FABRIC WRAP (24")	(c) A	GGREGATE BASE (T=6"±)
	(2 EQUAL LIFTS) (SEE NOTE 1)			$\left(\begin{array}{c} O \\ D \end{array} \right) 6$	" UNDERDRAIN
4 TTEM 407	NON-TRACKING TACK COAT (APPLIED @ 0.06 GAL./S.Y.)	27) 37 003	0 SHALLOW FIFE UNDERDRAIN, WITH FABRIC WRAF (50)	(\widetilde{E})	EINFORCED CONCRETE APPROACH S
(5) ITEM 407	NON-TRACKING TACK COAT (APPLIED @ 0.075 GAL./S.Y.)	(28) ITEM 60	9 ASPHALT CONCRETE CURB, TYPE 1, PG 64-22	(\vec{F})	ITTUMINOUS AGGREGATE BASE (T=10"
6 SP 304	AGGREGATE BASE (T=6")	29) ITEM 62.	2 CONCRETE BARRIER, SINGLE SLOPE, TYPE D, AS PER PLAN		
(7) SP 304	AGGREGATE BASE (VAR. THICKNESS) (WITHOUT GUARDRAIL)	(30) ITEM 60	9 CURB, TYPE 4-C		
(8) ITEM 206	CHEMICALLY STABILIZED SUBGRADE AS PER PLAN SEE SHEET 16	31) SP 404	ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE, USING		
			CRUSHED SLAG, PG 76-22 (FR) (T=1-1/4")		GGREGATE BASE (T=10 1/2"± AVERAG
(9) SP 404	ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE, USING	(32) SP 403	ASPHALT CONCRETE LEVELING COURSE, USING CRUSHED STONE_PG 76-22 (ER) (T=3/4")	(к) А	SPHALT CONCRETE (T=9"±)
(10) SP 402	ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE OR RECYCLED	(33) ITEM 254	4 PAVEMENT PLANING, ASPHALT CONCRETE (VARIABLE DEPTH)		
	ASPHALT CONCRETE INTERMEDIATE COURSE, PG 64-22 (T=1-3/4")	21) 50 404		COM	MON NOTES
(11) 3P 302	ASPHALT CONCRETE BASE, PG 04-22 (SHOULDER) (T-0)	(34) 3F 404	CRUSHED STONE, PG 64-22 (T=2")		ITEM 407 NON TRACKING TACK
(12) SP 304	AGGREGATE BASE (SHOULDER) (T=10") (2 EQUAL LIFTS)	(35) ITEM 254	4 PAVEMENT PLANING, ASPHALT CONCRETE (T=2")	NOTE T.	ITEM 407 - NON-TRACKING TACK
(13) ITEM 659	SEEDING AND MULCHING	(36) SP 404	ASPHALT CONCRETE SURFACE COURSE. USING		BETWEEN THE LIFTS OF SP 302
			CRUSHED SLAG, PG 76-22 (FR) $(T=2")$	NOTE 2:	THE TRAVELED LANE PAVEMEN
(14) SP 627	STONE SHOULDER PROTECTION (WITH GUARDRAIL) (T=3")	(37) ITEM 62.	2 CONCRETE BARRIER, SINGLE SLOPE, TYPE B-50,	NOTE 3:	ASPHALT OR CONCRETE CURB
(15) ITEM SPECIAL	SONIC NAP ALERT PATTERN (SNAP)	(38) NOT US	ED NOT USED	NOTE 4:	ITEM 206 - CHEMICALLY STABILI
					SEE GENERAL NOTES SHEET
(16) TTEM 606	GUARDRAIL, TYPE MGS WITH LONG STEEL POSTS	(39) TIEM 62.	2 CONCRETE BARRIER, SINGLE SLOPE, TYPE C-50, AS PER PLAN	NOTE 5:	FOR PAVEMENT AND SHOULDE
(17) SP 404A	JOINT SEALER (APPLIED TO VERTICAL FACE, SP 402 AND SP 404)				TABLES ON SHELTS 257 - 240
18) ITEM 252	FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT SAWING				
(19) ITEM 659	TOPSOIL (T=3")			* SLOPE V	ARIES SEE PAVEMENT ELEVATION
20) ITEM 209	LINEAR GRADING, AS PER PLAN			SHEETS SHOULD	237 - 246 FOR SLOPES. (7% MAX) ERS).
21) ITEM 526	REINFORCED CONCRETE APPROACH SLAB (T=12"), AS PER PLAN			** SEE UND	ERDRAIN SUBSUMMARY SHEET 1
22) SP 304	AGGREGATE BASE (T=9-1/4")				
23) ITEM 204	SUBGRADE COMPACTION				
-					

I SLAB

EXISTING LEGEND

0"±)

GE)

CK COAT SHALL BE PLACED ON SURFACE OF SP 302 AND CK COAT FOR INTERMEDIATE COURSE SHALL BE PLACED

ENT COMPOSITION SHALL EXTEND 1 FOOT INTO THE SHOULDER. RB SHALL BE SEALED PER THE REQUIREMENTS OF SP 400. IALL HAVE SUBGRADE STABILIZATION PERFORMED USING ILIZED SUBGRADE, AS PER PLAN. 16.

ER WIDTHS AND CROSS SLOPES, SEE PAVEMENT ELEVATION 46

ION TABLE ON XIMUM ROLLOVER FOR

118 FOR STATION LIMITS.

$\mathbf{\epsilon}$				DESIGNED	CHECKED	NO.	REVISIONS	BY DATE	DESIGN AGENCY
- 	1	PROJECT 43-19-07	TYPICAL SECTIONS	J.M.P.	W.D.B.	-	ADDENDUM NO. 2	KPA 12/21/18	(
3 19	[DRAWN	IN CHARGE				consultants
$\overline{)}$	6	DAIE: 12/06/18		P.S.L.	W.D.B.)
OHIO		OHIO TU	RNPIKE AND INFRAS	TRU		<u>الل</u>	R COM	NIS9	
) -)) = -))			, -)	-			



STA 130+00.00 TO STA 130+96.93 =	96.93 L.F.
<u>EASTBOUND</u> STA 1306+18.07 TO STA 1307+16.83 = STA 130+00 00 TO STA 130+82 65 =	98.76 L.F. 82.65 L.F



* SLOPE VARIES. SEE PAVEMENT ELEVATION TABLE ON SHEETS 237 - 246 FOR SLOPES. (7% MAXIMUM ROLLOVER FOR SHOULDERS).

FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES, EXISTING AND PROPOSED LEGENDS, SEE SHEET 8.

0

0



	F			DESIGNED	CHECKED	UN	SNUISI/IEE	RV DATE	DESIGN AGENCY
	2	PROJECT 43-19-07	TYPICAL SECTIONS	J.M.P.	W.D.B.	-	ADDENDUM NO. 2	KPA 12/21/18	(
9 49	T			DRAWN	IN CHARGE				zenst transmit althout
$\overline{)}$	6	DAIE: 12/06/18		P.S.L.	W.D.B.				・
OHO					Ē				
TURNPIKE			KNPIKE AND INFRAU	Л Ч		r		う ININ	





OHIO TURNPIKE AND INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION THE JAMES W. SHOCKNESSY OHIO TURNPIKE



POST-CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER CONTROLS

WATER QUALITY BASINS WILL BE INSTALLED TO MEET WATER QUALITY TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS AS A PART OF PROJECT 39-20-02. THE BASINS WILL BE SIZED TO MEET THE TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS OF BOTH PROJECTS. THE TOTAL WQV REQUIRED FOR PROJECTS 43-19-07 AND 39-20-02 IS APPROXIMATELY 1.62 ACRE FEET

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCTION OF TEMPORARY PAVEMENT, CONSTRUCTION OF AN INSIDE THIRD LANE, MEDIAN BARRIER AND SHOULDER; AND WIDENING AND REDECKING OF BRIDGES AT MP 47.4 AND MP 47.5

PROJECT DATA

TOTAL AREA (RIGHT-OF-WA	Y) 165.0 AC.
PROJECT EARTH DISTURBE	D AREA 51.8 AC.
ESTIMATED CONTRACTOR E DISTURBED AREA	EARTH 5.0 AC.
NOTICE OF INTENT EARTH DISTURBED AREA	56.8 AC.
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT FOR PRE-CONSTRUCTION SITE	0.90
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT FOR POST-CONSTRUCTION SITE	0.90
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA (PRE-CONSTRUCTION)	165.0 AC.
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA (POST-CONSTRUCTION)	165.0 AC.
PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (POST-CONSTRUCTION)	100%
SOIL MAP REFERENCE	FULTON & LUCAS COUNTY SOIL SURVEY (NRCS WEB SOIL SURVEY)
IMMEDIATE RECEIVING WATERS	BEECHER DITCH, MURBACH DITCH, PRAIRIE DITCH, RUSHLAND DITCH, WIREGRASS DITCH, AI CREEK
SUBSEQUENT RECEIVING WATERS	SWAN CREEK, MAUMEE RIVER, LAKE ERIE
LATITUDE	41°36'00" N
LONGITUDE	83°52'57" W
USGS MAP REFERENCE	SWANTON, OH AND WHITEHOUSE, OH QUADRANGLES



PROJECT NO. 43-19-07 **BRIDGE REHABILITATION AND 3RD LANE WIDENING**

OHIO TURNPIKE OVER AI CREEK M.P. 47.4, OHIO TURNPIKE OVER S.R. 64 (N. MAIN ST.) M.P. 47.5 MILEPOST 46.50 TO MILEPOST 50.92 FULTON AND LUCAS COUNTIES, OHIO

DATE PREPARED: 11/02/18

SITE OPERATOR:

INDEX OF SHEETS

SWP3 AUTHORIZATION:

JAMES M. PETERS, P.E., CPESC CT CONSULTANTS, INC. 8150 STERLING COURT MENTOR. OHIO 44060

 440.951.9000

TITLE SHEET	1
GENERAL NOTES	2
SWPPP QUANTITIES AND SUBSUMMARY	3
STA. 1220+00 TO STA. 1277+00	4
STA. 1277+00 TO STA. 1334+00	5
STA. 1334+00 TO STA. 6+00	6
STA. 6+00 TO STA. 62+00	7
STA. 62+00 TO STA. 118+00	8
STA. 118+00 TO STA. 150+00	9
GRADING ACTIVITY AND AMENDMENT LOG	10

ITEM 832 - PERIMETER FILTER FABRIC FENCE

THE BOTTOM OF THE FENCE SHALL BE BURIED 6" BELOW THE GROUND. THE FENCE SHALL BE HIGH ENOUGH TO RETAIN SEDIMENT LADEN WATER AND ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED TO PREVENT COLLAPSE OR BURSTING. THE GROUND ELEVATION OF THE FENCE SHALL BE HELD CONSTANT EXCEPT THAT THE END ELEVATION SHALL BE RAISED TO PREVENT FLOW AROUND THE END OF THE FENCE.

THE FILTER FABRIC SHALL BE MAINTAINED TO BE FUNCTIONAL. THIS SHALL INCLUDE REMOVAL OF TRAPPED SEDIMENT AND REQUIRED CLEANING, REPAIR AND/OR REPLACEMENT OF THE FILTER FABRIC.

THE COST OF ALL MATERIALS CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE AND REMOVAL REQUIRED SHALL BE PAID FOR UNDER ITEM 832 - PERIMETER FILTER FABRIC FENCE

Ο

APPLICABLE STANDARD DRAWINGS:

ODOT HYDRAULIC STANDARD CONSTRUCTION DRAWING DM-4.3 ODOT HYDRAULIC STANDARD CONSTRUCTION DRAWING DM-4.4

WATERS OF THE STATE PROTECTION:

IF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES DISTURB AREAS ADJACENT TO WATERS OF THE STATE, STRUCTURAL PRACTICES SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON SITE TO PROTECT ALL ADJACENT WATERS OF THE STATE FROM THE IMPACTS OF SEDIMENT RUNOFF. NO STRUCTURAL SEDIMENT CONTROLS SHALL BE USED IN THE WATERS OF THE STATE. FOR ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO SURFACE WATERS OF THE STATE, A FIETY (50) FOOT PERMANENT BUFFER SETBACK FROM AN INTERMITTENT STREAM AND A SEVENTY-FIVE (75) FOOT SETBACK FROM A PERENNIAL STREAM SHOULD BE MAINTAINED IN ITS NATURAL STATE AND LEFT UNDISTURBED ALONG WATERS OF THE STATE, AS MEASURED FROM THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK OF THE SURFACE WATER. WHERE IMPACTS WITHIN THIS SETBACK ARE UNAVOIDABLE DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, THE PROJECT SHALL BE DESIGNED SUCH THAT THE NUMBER OF STREAM CROSSINGS AND THE WIDTH OF THE DISTURBANCE WITHIN THE SETBACK AREA ARE MINIMIZED.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT PLACE ANY EQUIPMENT IN OR PERFORM ANY WORK IN ANY OF THE STREAMS CROSSING THE PROJECT AREA. EQUIPMENT SHALL BE MOVED ACROSS STREAM CHANNELS ON EXISTING BRIDGES. NO TEMPORARY STREAM CROSSINGS MAY BE CONSTRUCTED.

ADDITIONAL CONTROLS:

ANY ADDITIONAL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS REQUIRED TO MANAGE SEDIMENT AND EROSION FOR THIS PROJECT, NOT SEPARATELY ITEMIZED IN THE PLANS, AND REQUIRED IN THE STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN AND/OR REQUIRED AS PART OF SUPPLEMENTAL SPECIFICATION 832, SHALL BE PAID FOR AT THE UNIT BID PRICE FOR ITEM 832 - EROSION CONTROL, CUMP SUM

REQUIRED SWP3 SUBMITTALS

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE AND SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING TO THE OHIO COMMISSION IN ORDER TO FINALIZE THE STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN:

- NOI CO-PERMITTEE FORM (SUBMIT TO OHIO EPA).
- SCHEDULE OF DISTURBANCE.
- IDENTIFICATION OF ALL ON-SITE BATCH PLANTS (IF ANY).
- IDENTIFICATION OF PROPOSED WASTE AND BORROW AREAS.
- IDENTIFICATION OF PROPOSED ON-SITE FUELING AREAS.
- IDENTIFICATION OF STAGING AND MATERIAL STORAGE AREAS.
- IDENTIFICATION OF BATCHING AREAS AND MIXING AREAS.
- SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTER MEASURES PLAN (IF NEC.). WASTE HANDLING PLAN
- HAZARDOUS WASTE SPILL PLAN.

SWP3 NOTES:

THIS SWP3 IS MEANT TO BE USED AS A BASE PLAN FOR THE CONTRACTOR AND IS REQUIRED TO BE MODIFIED AS NECESSARY AND CERTIFIED THAT THE PLAN IS APPROPRIATE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, AND CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE TO BE EMPLOYED BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. FURTHERMORE, ANY MODIFICATIONS TO THE SWP3 REQUIRED AS A RESULT OF A CONTROL(S) NOT PERFORMING AS INTENDED, NOT INITIALLY PROPOSED, OR NOT REQUIRED SHALL BE TREATED AS A CHANGE ORDER ITEM. ONCE A CHANGE ORDER IS APPROVED. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING SURE THE SWP3 IS REVISED AND LOGGED IN THE SWP3 REVISION LOG

BASED ON SOIL MAPPING IN THE LUCAS AND FULTON COUNTY SOIL SURVEYS, MODERATELY UNSTABLE OR ERODIBLE COLWOOD LOAM (Co), DIGBY LOAM (DmA), HASKINS LOAM (HkA), MERMILL LOAM (Mf), NAPPANEE LOAM (NnA), SHOALS SILT LOAM (Sh), SISSON LOAM (SMc), SLOAN SILTY CLAY LOAM (So), AND UDORTHENTS LOAM (Uo) NATIVE SOILS ARE PRESENT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE CARE TO AVOID UNNECESSARILY DISTURBING EMBANKMENTS IN THE PROJECT AREA

								Ţ
(DESIGNED	CHECKED NO.	REVISIONS	BY DATE	DESIGN AGENCY	
1 12 34		STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN	J.M.P.	W.D.B. 1	ADDENDUM NO. 2	KPA 12/21/18		
1 22 19		TITI F SHFFT	DRAWN	IN CHARGE			consultants	
$\frac{\circ}{}$	DAIE: 12/06/18		K.P.A.	W.D.B.			・	
								2
TURNPIKE		IKNPIKE ANU INFRASI	Р Ү	K C C K	E CON	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$		2 Ř
}))					