

OHIO TURNPIKE AND INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION

ADDENDUM NO. 3

PROJECT NO. 39-18-02 PART A - MAINLINE PAVEMENT RECONSTRUCTION, MILEPOST 169.74 TO MILEPOST 176.34, SUMMIT AND CUYAHOGA COUNTIES, OHIO PART B - INTERCHANGE 173 REPAIRS AND RECONSTRUCTION, MILEPOST 173, SUMMIT AND CUYAHOGA COUNTIES, OHIO

OPENING DATE: EXTENDED TO 2:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME), FEBRUARY 16, 2018

ATTENTION OF BIDDERS IS DIRECTED TO: ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 12:00 PM ON JANUARY 29, 2018 -AND-EXTENSION OF THE BID OPENING TO 2:00PM ON FEBRUARY 6, 2018

Issued by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission on January 29, 2018 by Anthony D. Yacobucci, Chief Engineer, and Mark R. Musson, Director of Contracts Administration.

Anthony D. Yacobucci

Date

Mark R. Musson

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 12:00 PM ON JANUARY 29, 2018:

- Q#67 In response to the answer to prebid question 30 in addendum 2, the east crossover information-only quantity is 5230 CY excavation and raises a couple of questions:
 - **1.** Plan sheet 43 summarized quantity of total excavation (for all areas) is 450 cy and total embankment (for all areas) is 5600 cy. Is the volume of 5230 cy at the east crossover meant to be embankment or excavation?
 - 2. From looking at the plan sheets for the crossover, it appears that the inside shoulders are to be removed to build this crossover. Are these shoulders to be removed or not in order to generate excavation to help build the crossover?
- A#67 The Commission will respond to these questions in Addendum No. 4.
- Q#68 In response to addendum 2 answer to prebid question 41, the contractor is now responsible for replacement of inlet tops with new precast inlet tops. Plans do not show what type or size of inlets these are. Please provide this information for all 6 existing inlets.
- A#68 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 4.
- Q#69 In response to prebid question #66 in addendum 2, there are several questions that arise from this that need to be addressed:
 - 1. Will the contractor be responsible for disposing of their own water from the applicable phase or work or is it the contractor's responsibility for disposing of all water (including remainder of the jobsite which hasn't been yet removed and is monitored and treated by existing facilities) from within OTIC ROW and facilities?
 - 2. The maintenance of traffic plans show this material being removed in the 2018 and 2020 seasons. Is the contractor responsible for all applicable SP 112 and City of Brecksville/Cuyahoga County Sanitary Engineer requirements only while slag removal is being performed or will the contractor be responsible for all of this for the life of the project?
 - **3.** Does the OTIC pump station shown on revised plan sheet 431 transport only slagrelated leachate or does this also include sanitary lines from other OTIC-owned facilities, such as the toll plaza and service building?
 - 4. Since the OTIC has been disposing of leachate since the agreement when into effect (referencing dates on Cuyahoga County Sanitary Engineer letter and the City of Brecksville agreement), does the turnpike have a list of vendors and/or consultants who participated in this work that could provide quotations for services?
 - 5. Can the OTIC provide, for contractor reference only, an average dollar amount per year or total costs spent since this agreement for the disposal of leachate has gone into effect.
 - 6. Because of all of the above (and that there is no detailed takeoff of how much slag per phase), will OTIC please consider making the disposal of the slag water a bid item

with an allowance as there are many factors and costs that have to go in to attempting to estimate costs.

- 7. Since OTIC added these items into the second addendum which was released Friday afternoon (1/26/2018), please consider an extension of the bid date to allow the contractor time finding all means of resources to estimate costs, whether in-house or subcontracted services, which will require time for solicitation and receipt of services for quote.
- A#69 Through this Addendum No. 3, the Commission is extending the bid opening to 2:00PM on February 6, 2018 and will respond to the remaining questions in Addendum No. 4.
- Q#70 Bid item 82 "Topsoil Furnished and Placed": Plan sheet 400 gives quantity of 3304 cy and calls for placing 6" thick. Corresponding quantities for Seeding and Mulching, Class 3A and Erosion Control Mat, Type B equate to 4" worth of topsoil. Please verify if this is to be 4" or if the quantity of the topsoil is understated and please provide assumed widths and number of locations so that this item can be properly costed out (along with the seeding and erosion mat bid items).
- A#70 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 4.
- Q#71 In response to the answer of prebid question #52 in addendum 2: there is one typical section in question that was not addressed. The bottom typical section on sheet 24 of part A still shows the resurfacing of the third lane to be 2" pavement planning and 2" SP404 surface but the calculations show a 3.5" pavement planning and 2" SP402 intermediate course and a 1.5" SP404 surface course. Please review, clarify, and revise as needed.
- A#71 The Commission will respond to this question in Addendum No. 4.

Receipt of Addendum No. 3 Project No. 39-18-02 is hereby acknowledged:

(Firm Name)

(Signature)

(Printed Name)

(Date)

BIDDERS MUST RETURN THE ABOVE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM NO. 3 WITH THEIR BID.