

**MINUTES OF THE 627<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE  
OHIO TURNPIKE AND INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION  
MARCH 21, 2016**

**Chairman:** Good morning ladies and gentlemen, it is 10:00 a.m. Would you please rise as we say our Pledge of Allegiance.

The Meeting will come to order. Have all guests signed the sign-in sheet in the Lobby? If not, please do so prior to leaving, so we can maintain an accurate record of attendance.

Mr. Paradiso advised that he is unable to attend today's meeting and sends his apologies. He has had an outstanding record of attendance, so that does not bother us in the least.

Will the Assistant Secretary-Treasurer please call the roll?

**Assistant Secretary-Treasurer:** Chairman Hruby

**Chairman Hruby:** Here

**Assistant Secretary-Treasurer:** Commissioner Barber

**Secretary-Treasurer Barber:** Here

**Assistant Secretary-Treasurer:** Commissioner McAuliffe

**Mr. McAuliffe:** Here

**Assistant Secretary-Treasurer:** Commissioner Dixon

**Mr. Dixon:** Here

**Assistant Secretary-Treasurer:** Senator Manning

**Senator Manning:** Here

**Chairman Hruby:** Thank you, we have a quorum.

**Chairman:** This is the 627<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission. We are meeting here at the Commission's headquarters, as provided for in the Commission's Code of Bylaws for a Commission Meeting.

Various reports will be received, and we will act on several resolutions. Draft copies have previously been sent to the Members and updated drafts are in the Members' folders. The Resolutions will be explained during the appropriate reports.

May I have a motion to adopt the Minutes of the February 16, 2016, Commission Meeting?

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the Minutes of the February 16, 2016, Commission Meeting was made by Mr. McAuliffe and seconded by Chairman Hruby. All Commission Members present voted to approve the Minutes.

**Chairman:** If there are no questions, we will proceed with the report of our Secretary-Treasurer, Mrs. Barber.

**Secretary-Treasurer:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The following items have been sent to the Members since the last scheduled meeting of the Commission on February 16, 2016:

1. Thirteen Resolutions;
2. Draft Minutes of the February 16, 2016, Commission Meeting; and
3. Agenda for today's meeting.

We have included in their folders for today's meeting, the following additional documents:

1. Traffic Crash Summary Report, February 2016;
2. Traffic and Revenue Report, February 2016;
3. Total Revenue by Month and Year, February 2016;
4. Investment Report, February 2016;
5. Financial Statement, January and February 2016; and
6. Various News Articles.

**Chairman:** Thank you. Any questions for Mrs. Barber? We will now move on to the report of the Executive Director, Mr. Cole.

**Executive Director:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. With thirteen resolutions on the Agenda, I think we should stay focused on those. We have several interesting and exciting initiatives that will be coming to the Commission in the next few months. As of today, I do not have a report.

**Chairman:** Well, that is one for the record, Mr. Executive Director, but we appreciate that. We will move on to the report of the Chief Engineer, Tony Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** Good Morning Mr. Chairman and Commission Members. I have thirteen resolutions for your consideration this morning.

The first Resolution for your consideration is to award Contract No. 59-16-02 for the repairs and resurfacing of the Eastbound and Westbound Roadways from Milepost 55.45 to Milepost 69.30 located in Lucas and Wood Counties, Ohio. This work is included in the 2016 Capital Improvement Budget. Procurement received two bids for this Project with the apparent low bid being submitted by the Shelly Company Northwest, of Findlay, Ohio, in the amount of \$9,195,329.60. The bid submitted by Shelly Company is below the Engineer's Estimate of \$9.6

million and is deemed to be a responsive and responsible bid. Based on a review of Shelly's Financial Statement and Experience Questionnaire, it appears they have the capacity to perform this work. They have previously performed similar projects for the Commission in the past with favorable results. This Resolution also contains provisions to assign Quality Control Inspection, Inc., of Bedford, Ohio, to perform construction administration inspection services and TTL Associates, Inc., of Toledo, Ohio, a Commission certified EDGE company to perform material testing services. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**Chairman:** Please

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the bid of **The Shelly Company - Northwest**, of **Findlay, Ohio**, in the amount of **\$9,195,329.60** for Contract No. 59-16-02 is approved as the lowest responsive and responsible bid received and that the Executive Director is authorized to execute a Contract on the basis of said bid; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Commission approves the assignment of **Quality Control Inspection, Inc.**, of **Bedford, Ohio**, to perform the necessary construction administration and inspection services and **TTL Associates, Inc.**, of **Toledo, Ohio**, to perform all necessary material testing services to complete the Project, with both such assignments in accordance with the 2015-2016 Miscellaneous Engineering Services Agreement; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director has the authority to approve such extra work or change orders under previously awarded said contracts as a result of an increase in the planned quantities, newly mandated requirements that did not exist at the time of original contract awards, or circumstances that would create a life, safety, or health threatening situation or would unduly delay the completion of the Project and increase its costs.

**Chairman:** Any questions? Yes, Mr. Dixon.

**Mr. Dixon:** How much minority participation did we get?

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Dixon, 9.4% or \$919,532.96.

**Mr. Dixon:** Thank you.

**Chairman:** Any other questions? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Awarding Contract No. 59-16-02 for Repairs and Resurfacing in Lucas and Wood Counties, Ohio*, was made by Mrs. Barber, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** The Resolution passes unanimously. Please continue, Tony.

**Chief Engineer:** The second Resolution I have for your consideration is for the authorization to award Contract No. 40-16-02 for the repainting of five bridge structures located between Milepost 16.1 to Milepost 22.0 in Williams County, Ohio. This work is included in the 2016 Capital Improvement Budget. Procurement received six bids for this work, and the apparent low bid was submitted by APBN, Inc., of Campbell, Ohio, in the amount of \$829,000.00. The bid submitted by APBN is below the Engineer's Estimate of \$1,200,000.00 and is deemed to be a responsive and responsible bid. APBN has satisfactorily performed similar work for the Commission in the past with favorable results, and appears to have sufficient capacity to perform this Project. The Resolution also contains provisions to assign True Inspection Services, LLC, of Springfield, Ohio, a Commission certified MBE company, to perform construction administration and inspection services. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the bid of **APBN, Inc., of Campbell, Ohio**, in the amount of **\$829,000.00** for Contract No. 40-16-02 is approved as the lowest responsive and responsible bid received and that the Executive Director is authorized to execute a Contract on the basis of said bid; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Commission approves the assignment of **True Inspection Services, LLC, of Springfield, Ohio**, to perform all necessary construction administration and inspection services in accordance with the 2015-2016 Miscellaneous Engineering Services Agreement; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director has the authority to approve such extra work or change orders under previously awarded said contracts as a result of an increase in the planned quantities, newly mandated requirements that did not exist at the time of original contract awards, or circumstances that would create a life, safety, or health threatening situation or would unduly delay the completion of the Project and increase its costs.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Awarding Contract No. 40-16-02 for Bridge Repainting in Williams County, Ohio*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mrs. Barber, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** Please continue, Tony.

**Chief Engineer:** The next Resolution for your consideration is for the authorization to award Contract No. 43-16-02 for the repainting of six bridges located between Milepost 160.7 and Milepost 167.3, as well as, the rehabilitation and repainting of the CSX Railroad bridge at Milepost 160.3, all located in Cuyahoga County, Ohio. This work is included in the 2016 Capital Improvement Budget. Procurement received three bids for this work, and the apparent low bid was submitted by APBN, Inc., of Campbell, Ohio, in the amount of \$1,795,870.00. The bid submitted by APBN is below the Engineer's Estimate of \$3,800,000.00 and is deemed to be a responsive and responsible bid. APBN has satisfactorily performed similar work for the Commission in the past with favorable results, and appears to have sufficient capacity to perform

this Project. The Resolution also contains provisions to assign HDR Engineering, Inc. of Cleveland, Ohio and Greenman-Pederson, Inc., of Berea, Ohio to perform construction administration and inspection services, as well as Solar Testing Laboratories, Inc., of Brooklyn Heights, Ohio, to perform material testing services. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the bid of **APBN, Inc.**, of **Campbell, Ohio**, in the amount of **\$1,795,870.00** for Contract No. 43-16-02 is approved as the lowest responsive and responsible bid received and that the Executive Director is authorized to execute a Contract on the basis of said bid; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Commission approves the authorization of **HDR Engineering, Inc.** to proceed with performing all necessary construction administration and inspection services in accordance with the contract previously authorized under Resolution No. 53-2013, adopted August 19, 2013, for its services relative to the CSX Railroad Bridge rehabilitation at Milepost 160.3, and further approves the assignment of **Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.** to perform all necessary construction administration and inspection services relative to all bridge repainting performed under the Project and **Solar Testing Laboratories, Inc.** to perform all necessary material testing services for the Project, with both such assignments performed in accordance with their respective 2015-2016 Miscellaneous Engineering Services Agreements; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director has the authority to approve such extra work or change orders under previously awarded said contracts as a result of an increase in the planned quantities, newly mandated requirements that did not exist at the time of original contract awards, or circumstances that would create a life, safety, or health threatening situation or would unduly delay the completion of the Project and increase its costs.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Yes, Mr. Kauffman.

**Mr. Kauffman:** Mr. Chairman, I just noticed that the winning bid and also the other bids were very strong, significantly below the Engineer's Estimate and I just wondered if you could talk a little bit about what you are seeing that might be driving those actual costs down.

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Kauffman, the amount of painting work that is being bid right now is relatively low from what the bidder has noted to us. As you will see in the next Resolution, we had the same issue wherein the bids were very low. So, the competition is very high and the actual bid prices that we received were very close, so all three contractors were bidding basically what we figured was the correct project.

**Chairman:** We publish that estimate, correct?

**Chief Engineer:** We do not publish the estimates.

**Chairman:** Not until after?

**Chief Engineer:** That is correct.

**Chairman:** Very good. When they are tight like that it is good. Any other questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Awarding Contract No. 43-16-02 for Bridge Rehabilitation and Repainting in Cuyahoga County, Ohio*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** Please continue, Tony.

**Chief Engineer:** The fourth Resolution for your consideration is for the authorization to award Contract No. 40-16-01 for the repainting of five bridge structures located between Milepost 0.9 to Milepost 10.6 in Williams County, Ohio. This work is included in the 2016 Capital Improvement Budget. Procurement received five bids for this work, and the apparent low bid was submitted by APBN, Inc., of Campbell, Ohio, in the amount of \$474,503.00. The bid submitted by APBN is below the Engineer's Estimate of \$1,100,000.00 and is deemed to be a responsive and responsible bid. APBN has satisfactorily performed similar work for the Commission in the past with favorable results, and appears to have sufficient capacity to perform this Project. The Resolution also contains provisions to assign True Inspection Services, LLC, of Springfield, Ohio, a Commission certified MBE company, to perform construction administration and inspection services. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the bid of **APBN, Inc., of Campbell, Ohio**, in the amount of **\$474,503.00** for Contract No. 40-16-01 is approved as the lowest responsive and responsible bid received and that the Executive Director is authorized to execute a Contract on the basis of said bid; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Commission approves the assignment of **True Inspection Services, LLC, of Springfield, Ohio**, to perform all necessary construction administration and inspection services in accordance with the 2015-2016 Miscellaneous Engineering Services Agreement; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director has the authority to approve such extra work or change orders under previously awarded said contracts as a result of an increase in the planned quantities, newly mandated requirements that did not exist at the time of original contract awards, or circumstances that would create a life, safety, or health threatening situation or would unduly delay the completion of the Project and increase its costs.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Yes. Mr. Dixon.

**Mr. Dixon:** Some of the titles say pavement and rehabilitation and I noticed that this one just says repainting. When we paint a bridge does that mean that the bridge is in good repair and we passed inspection and all that? We don't just ever paint a bridge without it being in good repair, correct?

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Dixon, yes. For the most part, the bridges in good condition they are just being repainted. The painting cycle is about thirty years. We have had some bridges in the past where we painted them one year and then rehabbed the remaining parts of the structure the following year. We don't like to mix our painting contracts with our rehabilitation contracts. We have found that when we split the painting and rehab contracts and do them independently, we get better prices and a lot more cooperation.

**Mr. Dixon:** So, that is business as usual to paint and repair afterwards and that is not a problem?

**Chief Engineer:** No, it is not a problem Mr. Chairman and Mr. Dixon. Typically, when we do that we rehab the structure the following year after it's painted.

**Mr. Dixon:** Thank you.

**Chairman:** Any other questions or comments?

**Executive Director:** Mr. Chairman, as we stated previously, I believe two meetings ago, all of the Turnpike bridges passed full inspection and none of them are structurally deficient, so we do not have any that are in immediate need of repair, but we do have a capital plan for repair, replacement, and upgrades to bridges all across the Turnpike. So when we talk about it just to make sure we are clear on the terms. I am not an Engineer, I will defer to the Chief Engineer. However, for clarification all of our bridges are in good standing.

**Mr. Dixon:** That is good to know. Thank you.

**Chairman:** Any other questions? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Awarding Contract No. 40-16-01 for Bridge Repainting in Williams County, Ohio*, was made by Mrs. Barber, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** The Resolution passes unanimously. Please continue, Mr. Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** The next Resolution for your consideration is for authorization to award Project No. 71-15-05 for Engineering Services relating to the investigation, evaluation and rehabilitation of three overhead bridges between Milepost 178.0 and Milepost 199.2 in Summit and Portage Counties, Ohio. On August 12, 2015, Procurement received twenty-three Letters of Interest for Project No. 71-15-05, from which four firms were deemed most qualified and invited to submit technical proposals. Engineering staff reviewed the technical proposals and concluded that AECOM Technical Services, Inc. ("AECOM") of Akron, Ohio was most qualified to perform the services. Fee negotiations commenced between AECOM and our Engineering staff, and on March 11, 2016, a final fee proposal was submitted in the amount of \$194,990.00 for Phase I services. The services for Phase I include the site investigation, evaluation, design and plan preparation for the rehabilitation of these three structures. Therefore, we are requesting

Commission authorization for the award of Project No. 71-15-05 to AECOM Technical Services, Inc. of Akron, Ohio for Phase I of this Project in the amount of \$194,990.00. Commission authorization may be requested in the future for Construction Administration and Inspection Services of the Project. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the selection of **AECOM Technical Services, Inc., of Akron, Ohio**, is approved as the most qualified firm to perform the professional engineering services necessary for Project No. 71-15-05, and that the Executive Director is authorized to execute a Contract with AECOM on the basis of the Commission's Request for Proposals and AECOM's technical response and fee proposal for Phase I Services in the not to exceed amount of **\$194,990.00**; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director has the authority to approve such extra work or change orders under previously awarded said contracts as a result of an increase in the planned quantities, newly mandated requirements that did not exist at the time of original contract awards, or circumstances that would create a life, safety, or health threatening situation or would unduly delay the completion of the Project and increase its costs.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Authorizing a Contract for Engineering and Construction Administration and Inspection Services for the Rehabilitation of Three Bridges in Summit and Portage Counties, Ohio (Project No. 71-15-05)*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** The Resolution passes unanimously. Please continue, Mr. Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** The sixth Resolution for your consideration seeks authorization for the purchase of eight (8) zone trucks, with the cab and chassis of the zone trucks purchased under the Ohio Department of Transportation's ("ODOT") Cooperative Purchasing Program Contract Number 023-15 and the zone truck bodies purchased under the Ohio Department of Administrative Services Cooperative Purchasing Program, State Term Contract Index No. STS515, Schedule No. 800116. The purchase of the zone trucks is included in the 2016 Capital Budget and is required to replace existing 2000 model year zone trucks. The Procurement Manager has determined that the lowest cost of obtaining the eight (8) zone trucks is to purchase the cab and chassis under ODOT's Cooperative Purchasing Program from Cleveland Freightliner, Inc., dba Valley Freightliner, of Parma, Ohio, in the amount of \$510,424.00, and to purchase the zone truck bodies under the Ohio Department of Administrative Services Cooperative Purchasing Program from Concord Road Equipment Manufacturing, Inc., of Painesville, Ohio, in the amount of \$249,733.20. The total combined cost for the eight (8) zone trucks will be \$760,157.20. In addition, the existing 2000 model year zone trucks shall be disposed of in accordance with the Commission's Property Disposal Policy after the new zone trucks are placed into service. The expenditures by the Commission for the eight (8) zone trucks will exceed \$150,000.00, and, therefore, in accordance with Article V, Section 1.00 of the



Commission's Code of Bylaws, Commission authorization of this purchase is required. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the purchase of eight truck cab and chassis from **Cleveland Freightliner, Inc., dba Valley Freightliner**, of **Parma, Ohio**, through the ODOT Cooperative Purchasing Program under Invitation 023-15, in the total amount of **\$510,424.00**, is approved; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that the purchase of eight installed truck bodies from **Concord Road Equipment Manufacturing, Inc.**, of **Painesville, Ohio**, through the DAS Cooperative Purchasing Program under DAS Contract Schedule No. 800116, Index No. STS515, in the total amount of **\$249,733.20**, is approved.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Authorizing the Purchase of Eight Truck Cab and Chassis and Eight Zone Truck Bodies Under ODOT and DAS Cooperative Purchasing Programs*, was made by Mrs. Barber, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** The Resolution passes unanimously. Please continue, Mr. Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** The seventh Resolution for your consideration is to reject the lone bid received for the purchase of one (1) cab and chassis and aerial device with a digger. Procurement received no bids to supply the Group I Cab and Chassis and received one (1) bid for the Group II aerial device with digger. The bid received for Group II was submitted by Fyda Freightliner Columbus, Inc., of Columbus, Ohio, in the amount of \$198,500.00. The completed truck and aerial device with digger requires valid bids for Group I and Group II in order to be a complete, integrated, and assembled unit. Therefore, without a valid bid for Group I cab and chassis, it is recommended that the bid for Group II be rejected, and that the contract be re-advertised. There are several bidders capable of supplying a cab and chassis and aerial device with digger, and it is anticipated that successful bids can be received with the re-advertisement. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED that the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission rejects the bid received in response to Bid Invitation No. 4263.

**Chairman:** I have question, Tony. Why is there only one bid on this if there are several out there?

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Members, the company that bid on Group II and not the Group I was going to supply the Group I Item, they messed up their bid. Quite frankly, there was another organization that would have bid on this, but the emails that were sent out, apparently, didn't get to the correct person and they missed the bid. We have talked to that organization and they are ready to bid it went it is re-advertised.

**Chairman:** Thank you.

**Executive Director:** Just a quick comment, Mr. Chairman. Through the work that Diana Anthony has done on MBE/DBE certifications and significant outreach with vendors in that community, as well as upgrades to our website, we think it is going to be a lot easier. We are going to have a vendor self-service opportunity on our website, so that people can update their information more easily, so that don't just send out a bid and it goes out into the ether and we don't know what happened or things have changed. We think by allowing vendors to be more proactive and update that information themselves that we are going to have fewer of these problems in the future.

**Chairman:** Very good. Anything else? Please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Rejecting Bid Received on Bid Invitation No. 4263 for Furnishing One (1) Current Model Truck Cab and Chassis and Installing One (1) Sixty (60) Foot Aerial Device With Digger*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** The Resolution passes unanimously. Please continue.

**Chief Engineer:** The eighth Resolution for your consideration seeks to Award an Agreement for Janitorial Services at the Commission's Blue Heron and Wyandot Service Plazas (Agreement 2016 SP-3 JS). On January 22, 2016, Requests For Proposals ("RFP's") for Janitorial Services were issued to eighty-three firms. The RFP's were structured so respondents could bid on the Blue Heron or Wyandot Service Plazas individually or submit a combined bid for both Service Plazas together. On February 8, 2016, a Site Visit and Pre-Bid Conference was conducted at the plazas with ten companies participating. On February 26, 2016, Procurement received three proposals in response to this RFP. The proposals were reviewed and scored by an Evaluation Team consisting of the Eastern and Western Division Service Plaza Managers and the Blue Heron and Wyandot Service Plaza Manager. The three proposals were evaluated on their technical merit and the highest technical score was awarded to Any Domestic Work, Inc. ("A.D.W."), of Parma, Ohio, scoring of 470 out of 500 points. They were followed by Weaver Industries of Akron, Ohio with a score of 385 points and Crystal Clear Building Services placed third with 320 points. Upon completion of the technical evaluation and scoring, the cost portion of the proposals were opened separately. A "Cost Performance Index" ("CPI") was then calculated based on a combination of the price proposals and the technical scores in order to identify the "best" overall proposal in terms of cost and service. The proposal submitted by Any Domestic Work, Inc. received the highest CPI ranking. Additionally, A.D.W.'s proposal offered a cost reduction of \$40,800 per year for award of the Service Plaza's as a set, as compared to the price proposal for servicing individual plazas. A breakdown of the costs for the Agreement are as follows:

	<b><u>Monthly Fee</u></b> <b><u>Per Plaza Set</u></b>	<b><u>Annual Fee</u></b> <b><u>Per Plaza Set</u> <b><u>Hourly Rate</u></b>*</b>	
<b><u>A.D.W., Inc.</u></b> <b>Agreement 2016 SP-3 JS</b> <b>Blue Heron and</b> <b>Wyandot</b>	<b>\$59,000 (set)</b>	<b>\$708,000</b>	<b>\$10.70</b>

*\* for any additional requested services*

Based upon these results, we are requesting Commission authorization for the award of an Agreement to Any Domestic Work, Inc., a Commission certified DBE company, to perform janitorial services at the Blue Heron and Wyandot Service Plazas for \$59,000.00 per month and \$10.70 per employee-hour for additional services, and the Agreement shall be for an initial one-year term, with six one year options to renew. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the Proposal submitted by **Any Domestic Work, Inc., dba A.D.W., Inc., of Parma, Ohio,** is selected as the best Proposal received to perform janitorial services at the Blue Heron and Wyandot Service Plazas **for \$59,000.00 per month and \$10.70 per employee-hourly for any additional services,** and that the Executive Director is authorized to enter into an agreement on the basis of the Proposal for a term of one year, with six one-year options to renew.

**Chairman:** Any questions or comments?

**Mr. Dixon:** I am kind of torn on this one. This averages out to \$10.70 per hour, per employee that we are paying them? Please explain the \$10.70.

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Dixon, the \$10.70 is an hourly rate we would pay the vendor if we were to ask them to do additional services. For instance, the RNC is coming to Cleveland and they are required to staff the plazas under the conditions of the agreement, but because of the influx of people that we expect to see, we may ask them to actually staff another person during certain hours. If we do that, we will pay them \$10.70 per hour to do that. In addition, if there are other things that we want done, and I am going to use scrubbing the floors as an example, not that it is not in the contract, but we would ask them to do that.

**Mr. Dixon:** I understand. So, if we pay them \$10.70 per hour, how much are they paying their employees?

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Dixon, I am going to ask David DeFlorentis answer your question. He is our Eastern Division Service Plaza Manager. Hopefully, he can provide us with a good answer for that.

**David DeFlorentis:** Good morning Mr. Chairman and Commission Members. The rate that is paid is basically a reimbursement rate for additional services. The actual wage that they

are paid at the company is in their payroll system, it is between them and their company. When we ask for additional services that is what the wage is that we would have to pay for those extra services. It is not often that we do that. Usually, everything is incorporated within the bid, but if we do, in fact, have to ask for more there has to be a standard, so that it is an amount that is acceptable.

**Mr. Dixon:** So, we don't really know?

**David DeFlorentis:** I don't exactly know.

**Director Cole:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Dixon, the one thing that I would point out is that out of the three companies who bid this would be the highest hourly rate we reimbursed any of the three. So, if you just do that combination of factors as far as the market goes out there, the company that we are doing business with at least leaves the opening for the highest possible compensation to their employees out of the people who bid.

**Chairman:** I also take from this then that the \$10.70 rate means that there is no such thing as overtime with them. If you have to call people in to do extra maintenance, there is no overtime rate or that would be between the company and their employee.

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Member Dixon, you are absolutely correct. That is the rate that we would pay regardless of whether it is overtime on their behalf or not. That is between them and their employee.

**Chairman:** Okay. Any other questions. Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Awarding Agreement for Janitorial Services at the Blue Heron and Wyandot Service Plazas*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and approved by Chairman Hruby, Mrs. Barber and Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. Dixon voted "no."

**Chairman:** The vote was 3-1. Please continue, Mr. Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** The next Resolution for your consideration seeks to Award an Agreement for Janitorial Services at the Commission's Middle Ridge and Vermilion Valley Service Plazas (Agreement 2016 SP-5 JS). On January 15, 2016, Requests For Proposals ("RFP's") for Janitorial Services were issued to eighty-three firms. The RFP's were structured so respondents could bid on the Middle Ridge or Vermillion Valley Service Plazas individually or submit a combined bid for both Service Plazas together. On February 2, 2016, a Site Visit and Pre-Bid Conference was conducted at the plazas with ten companies participating. On February 19, 2016, Procurement received three proposals in response to this RFP. The proposals were reviewed and scored by an Evaluation Team consisting of the Eastern and Western Division Service Plaza Managers and the Middle Ridge and Vermilion Valley Service Plaza Manager. The three proposals were evaluated on their technical merit and the highest technical score was awarded to Any Domestic Work, Inc. ("A.D.W."), of Parma, Ohio, scoring of 465 out of 500 points. They were followed by Weaver Industries of Akron, Ohio, with a score of 395 points and

Crystal Clear Building Services placed third with 320 points. Upon completion of the technical evaluation and scoring, the cost portion of the proposals were opened separately. A “Cost Performance Index” (“CPI”) was then calculated based on a combination of the price proposals and the technical scores in order to identify the “best” overall proposal in terms of cost and service. The proposal submitted by Any Domestic Work, Inc. received the highest CPI ranking. Additionally, A.D.W.’s proposal offered a cost reduction of \$40,800.00 per year for award of the Service Plaza’s as a set, as compared to the price proposal for servicing individual plazas. A breakdown of the costs for the Agreement are as follows:

	<u>Monthly Fee</u> <u>Per Plaza Set</u>	<u>Annual Fee</u> <u>Per Plaza Set</u>	<u>Hourly Rate</u>
*			
<b><u>A.D.W., Inc.</u></b>			
<b>Agreement 2016 SP-5 JS</b>			
<b>Middle Ridge and Vermilion Valley</b>	<b>\$59,000 (set)</b>	<b>\$708,000</b>	<b>\$10.70</b>

\* for any additional requested services

Based upon these results, we are requesting Commission authorization for the award of an Agreement to Any Domestic Work, Inc., a Commission certified DBE company, to perform janitorial services at the Middle Ridge and Vermillion Valley Service Plazas for \$59,000.00 per month and \$10.70 per employee-hour for additional services, and the Agreement shall be for an initial one-year term, with six one year options to renew. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission that the Proposal submitted by **Any Domestic Work, Inc., dba A.D.W., Inc., of Parma, Ohio,** is selected as the best Proposal received to perform janitorial services at the Middle Ridge and Vermillion Valley Service Plazas **for \$59,000.00 per month and \$10.70 per employee-hour for additional services,** and that the Executive Director is authorized to enter into an agreement on the basis of the Proposal for a term of one year, with six one-year options to renew.

**Chairman:** Any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Awarding Agreement for Janitorial Services at the Middle Ridge and Vermilion Valley Service Plazas*, was made by Mrs. Barber, seconded by Chairman Hruby, and approved by Chairman Hruby, Mrs. Barber and Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. Dixon voted “no.”

**Chairman:** The vote was 3-1. Please continue, Mr. Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Members, the next four resolutions for your consideration relate to the ODOT Infrastructure projects that were presented to you at the February commission meeting. We have evaluated the ODOT applications for infrastructure funding and we are ready to make our recommendations for your consideration and approval. I

will briefly go through each project and provide you with our analysis on determining nexus. Under Ohio law, we are required to consider five factors, which are:

- (1) The physical proximity of the infrastructure project to, and a direct or indirect physical connection between, the infrastructure project and the Ohio turnpike system;
- (2) The impact of the infrastructure project on traffic density, flow through, or capacity on the Ohio turnpike system;
- (3) The impact of the infrastructure project on the Ohio turnpike system, toll revenue or other revenues;
- (4) The impact of the infrastructure project on the movement of goods and services on, or in the area of, the Ohio turnpike system; and
- (5) The enhancement or improvement by and through the infrastructure project of access to, use of, and egress from the Ohio turnpike system, and access to and from connected areas of population, commerce, and industry.

In order to comply with Ohio law, ODOT staff provided us with a variety of statistics. One such statistic included traffic information based on ODOT's statewide traffic modeling program that attempts to provide the actual impact of each project. Looking at Vehicle Miles Traveled ("VMT"), it became evident that the projects will have neither a substantively positive or negative impact to the Ohio Turnpike System. In other words, the VMT statistics were so insignificantly small, that there was really no positive or negative impact on the Turnpike traffic flow. But this was only one piece of information relevant to determining nexus. We also considered the proximity of the project to the Ohio Turnpike and the project location. I want to point out that while some of the projects do not physically "touch" the Turnpike, they are directly or indirectly linked to the Turnpike via interstate routes or highways. Ultimately, in reviewing ODOT's requests, we determined that improving these links will benefit the Ohio Turnpike System. With that backdrop, I will now present the first project for your consideration.

**Chairman:** Please.

**Chief Engineer:** The first project for your consideration is an improvement on Interstate 77 in Cuyahoga County. This application seeks \$40,900,000.00 for the construction of an additional lane in each direction on I-77 from just south of Oakes Road to just north of the Brecksville Road interchange. This Project has a direct physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike at Toll Plaza 173. This project will have a negligible impact on Turnpike traffic, flow-through, or capacity, and ODOT has projected that this project will have negligible impact on the Turnpike's toll revenue as a result of any traffic pattern changes from this project. Given that approximately one million commercial vehicles entered or exited the Ohio Turnpike at the I-77 interchange at TP 173 in 2015, this Project has a positive impact on the movement of goods and services in this entire region by increasing the capacity of the highway with direct physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike. This Project is anticipated to increase access to and from the Ohio Turnpike because it reduces congestion and improves safety conditions. Based on the

information contained in ODOT's application for this Project and based on the Commission's staff independent judgment of this Project, we recommend this Project for funding because this Project benefits the public highway system in Ohio, as well as the Ohio Turnpike and because this Project has a transportation related nexus to the Ohio Turnpike. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED, that the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission hereby determines that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 79671 (CUY IR-77) located in Cuyahoga County, demonstrates the transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System as required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5537.18 and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5537-10-01; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section 5537.18 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Commission's determination that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 79671 has the required transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System is and shall be conclusive and incontestable; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this Resolution shall be sent to bond counsel for the Commission.

**Chairman:** Any questions or comments? Yes, Mrs. Barber.

**Mrs. Barber:** I want to thank Tony and his staff. We have had a number of Resolutions that we had to read this month and all of the pertinent documents along with it that was so helpful in going through it and understanding this process. I would also like to thank ODOT. They were very forthcoming with the questions that I had and very informative. So, I just appreciate everyone involved in this process because it makes it so much easier for me to make good decisions on these projects and I am looking forward to more of the same.

**Chairman:** Thank you. Yes, Mr. Dixon.

**Mr. Dixon:** I want to echo those remarks. I mean, ODOT gave me a phone call. I called Randy and his staff and was provided with all of the information. It gave me a clear understanding on what we are dealing with and that is all you can ask for.

**Chairman:** Absolutely.

**Chief Engineer:** Mr. Chairman and Commission Members, it is not necessarily my staff, it is Jen Stueber's staff and Jen Diaz who put all of the information together.

**Mrs. Barber:** I thanked Jen too.

**Chief Engineer:** I surely don't want the recognition coming my way when there are other people that are much more well deserving of it.

**Chairman:** Any other questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Determining that ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 79671 (CUY IR-77 in Cuyahoga County) has a Transportation Related Nexus to the Ohio Turnpike*, was made by Mr. McAuliffe, seconded by Mrs. Barber, and approved by Mrs. Barber, Mr. McAuliffe and Mr. Dixon. Chairman Hruby abstained.

**Chairman:** Three in favor and one abstention. I abstained because the project is almost 95% in the City of Brecksville. Please continue, Mr. Yacobucci.

**Chief Engineer:** The second project for your consideration is an improvement on Interstate 271 in Cuyahoga County. This application seeks \$120,500,000.00 for the addition of a third lane in each direction on I-271 from the I-480/I-271 east interchange near the Summit County Line to the Miles Road interchange. This Project has an indirect physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike at two locations: (1) via State Route 8, which connects to the Ohio Turnpike at Toll Plaza 180, which is approximately six miles from the Project site; and (2) via Interstate Route 480, which connects to the Ohio Turnpike at Toll Plaza 187, which is approximately ten miles from the Project site. This Project will have a negligible impact on Turnpike traffic, flow-through, or capacity, and ODOT has projected that this Project will have negligible impact on the Turnpike's toll revenue as a result of any traffic pattern changes from this Project. This Project is anticipated to have a positive impact on the movement of goods and services in this entire region by increasing the capacity of the highway, which has an indirect physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike. This Project is anticipated to increase access to and from the Ohio Turnpike because it reduces congestion and improves safety conditions for the average daily traffic of almost 93,000 vehicles a day, of which 13% are trucks. Based on the information contained in ODOT's application for this Project and based on the Commission's staff independent judgment of this Project, we recommend this Project for funding because this Project benefits the public highway system in Ohio, as well as the Ohio Turnpike and because this Project has a transportation related nexus to the Ohio Turnpike. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED, that the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission hereby determines that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 80418 (CUY IR-271) located in Cuyahoga County, demonstrates the transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System as required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5537.18 and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5537-10-01; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section 5537.18 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Commission's determination that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 80418 has the required transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System is and shall be conclusive and incontestable; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this Resolution shall be sent to bond counsel for the Commission.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Determining that ODOT Infrastructure Project*



*PID No. 80418 (CUY IR-271 in Cuyahoga County) has a Transportation Related Nexus to the Ohio Turnpike, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.*

**Chairman:** Thank you. Please continue, Tony.

**Chief Engineer:** The third project for your consideration is an improvement on Interstate 76/77 in Summit County. This application seeks \$110,000,000.00 for the major reconfiguration of an urban interchange on I-76/77, serving South Main Street and South Broadway Street that lead to and from downtown Akron. This Project has an indirect physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike at State Route 8, which connects to the Ohio Turnpike at Toll Plaza 180, which is approximately 15 miles from the Project site. Additionally, this Project has a direct physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike at two locations: (1) at Toll Plaza 218, the I-76/I-80 interchange, which is approximately 27 miles from the Project site; and (2) via Interstate 77 which connects to the Ohio Turnpike at Toll Plaza 173, which is approximately 20 miles from the Project site. This Project will have a negligible impact on Turnpike traffic, flow-through, or capacity, and ODOT has projected that this Project will have negligible impact on the Turnpike's toll revenue as a result of any traffic pattern changes from this Project. This Project is anticipated to have a positive impact on the movement of goods and services in this entire region by increasing the capacity of the highway, which has multiple physical connections to the Ohio Turnpike. This Project is anticipated to increase access to and from the Ohio Turnpike because it reduces congestion and improves safety conditions for the average daily traffic of almost 108,000 vehicles a day (of which 11% are trucks). Based on the information contained in ODOT's application for this Project and based on the Commission's staff independent judgment of this Project, we recommend this Project for funding because this Project benefits the public highway system in Ohio as well as the Ohio Turnpike and because this Project has a transportation related nexus to the Ohio Turnpike. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED, that the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission hereby determines that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 77269 (SUM IR-76/IR-77 (Main/Broadway)) located in Summit County, demonstrates the transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System as required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5537.18 and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5537-10-01; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section 5537.18 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Commission's determination that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 77269 has the required transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System is and shall be conclusive and incontestable; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this Resolution shall be sent to bond counsel for the Commission.

**Chairman:** Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Determining that ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 77269 (SUM IR-76/IR-77 Main/Broadway in Summit County) has a Transportation Related Nexus to the Ohio Turnpike*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mr. McAuliffe, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** Please continue, Tony.

**Chief Engineer:** The fourth and final project for your consideration is an improvement on Interstate 680 and State Route 164 in Mahoning County. This application seeks \$6,000,000 for the construction of a new interchange on Interstate 680 at State Route 164, as well as the construction of a roundabout at the State Route 164 and State Route 626 intersection, immediately north of the Turnpike mainline. This Project has a direct physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike near Toll Plaza 234, the I-680 interchange, in Beaver Township. This Project will have a negligible impact on Turnpike traffic, flow-through, or capacity, and ODOT has projected that this Project will have negligible impact on the Turnpike's toll revenue as a result of any traffic pattern changes from this Project. This Project is anticipated to have a positive impact on the movement of goods and services in this entire region by increasing the capacity of the highway with a direct physical connection to the Ohio Turnpike. This Project is anticipated to increase access to and from the Ohio Turnpike because it reduces congestion and improves safety conditions. Based on the information contained in ODOT's application for this Project and based on the Commission's staff independent judgment of this Project, we recommend this Project for funding because this Project benefits the public highway system in Ohio, as well as the Ohio Turnpike and because this Project has a transportation related nexus to the Ohio Turnpike. With your permission, may the General Counsel please read the Resolved?

**General Counsel:** RESOLVED, that the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission hereby determines that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 80192 (MAH IR-680/SR-164) located in Mahoning County, demonstrates the transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System as required by Ohio Revised Code Section 5537.18 and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5537-10-01; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section 5537.18 of the Ohio Revised Code, the Commission's determination that the ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 80192 has the required transportation related nexus to the Turnpike System is and shall be conclusive and incontestable; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this Resolution shall be sent to bond counsel for the Commission.

**Chairman:** Any questions or comments? Hearing none, please call the roll.

**MOTION:** A motion to adopt the *Resolution Determining that ODOT Infrastructure Project PID No. 80192 (MAH IR-680/SR-164 in Mahoning County) has a Transportation Related Nexus to the Ohio Turnpike*, was made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mrs. Barber, and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

**Chairman:** The Resolution is adopted. I just want to say in roughly forty-seven minutes we went through thirteen Resolutions, which really is a credit to the Executive Director and the staff for how well they prepare the Commission for these meetings and we would also like to thank our friends at TRAC and our friends at ODOT for the fine work that they did on the second go around of applications. Each one of these have been clearly demonstrated to have a nexus and an impact upon making the Turnpike System better and we appreciate the work Mr. McAuliffe of ODOT and of the TRAC members. Thank you all. I know there are some of the members that are here from ODOT. We appreciate your great input and of course, Director Cole as always, your leadership is appreciated greatly.

**Director Cole:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a couple of quick comments. The first one is, if you remember last month there was a fifth project that ODOT made a presentation related to, which was US-42 in Medina, Ohio. That project was evaluated similar to all of the others for a transportation related nexus determination by the staff here at the Turnpike. That recommendation was a negative one. In consultation with ODOT, they later withdrew that request prior to this meeting and that is why you had the four projects that passed staff review here today. The fifth project was withdrawn by ODOT because we know how much you value Mr. Yacobucci's and our staff's opinion, ODOT didn't think it was necessary to bring it to a vote if the Turnpike staff did not support it. The final thing is a reminder of the process, what was done today was a review of the nexus determination, it was approval of these projects for funding by the Commission, but that approval is contingent upon financing, perhaps in January of 2017. What has been submitted to us is beyond the \$200 million we expect to finance with new debt, so ODOT still will be coming back to us with revised budgets bringing these approved projects within the \$200 million amount and then we will be working with the financial team to assess the appropriate time to go to market, specifically, in making those preparations to issue \$200 million in new debt. Marty will go into more detail about that at a later date. So, all of that is still to come. We are not done with this process yet.

**Chairman:** Any questions or comments?

**Mr. McAuliffe:** On behalf of ODOT we would like to thank our partnership with the Turnpike Commission, specifically, Mr. Yacobucci, Jennifer Stueber, and Randy Cole. This is a system, it is not ODOT's. It is a part of a system. We maintain 44,000 lanes miles, 14,500 bridges, it does not work without our partners at the Turnpike. Our goal has always been to build a safe, reliable, efficient conveyance or transportation of people and goods across the state. This \$1.1 billion thus far investment into the State of Ohio, no doubt will benefit the citizens. Thank you.

**Chairman:** Thank you, Mr. McAuliffe. Anything else? We will move on now to the report of our CFO/Comptroller/DED, Marty Seekely.

**CFO/Comptroller:** Thank you Mr. Chairman. I have a brief update on our traffic and revenue for the month of February.

This first chart shows the monthly passenger car miles traveled on the Ohio Turnpike over the past two years.

Passenger car vehicle miles traveled were up 15.1% in February as a result of the mild weather, low gas prices and the extra day in February this year because of leap year.

If you subtract February 29, 2016, from this year's total, passenger car vehicle miles traveled were up 11.2% this year.

Commercial traffic was 5.0% higher than February of last year. If you exclude February 29, 2016, from this year's total then commercial traffic was up 1.4% from last February.

The increase in traffic combined with the 2.7% toll rate increase on January 1 and the extra day in February this year caused Passenger car toll revenue to increase 16.4% in February.

If you remove February 29, 2016, from this year, Passenger car toll revenue is up 12.4% from February of last year.

The increase in commercial traffic combined with the toll rate increase caused Commercial vehicle toll revenues to increase 6.9% in February.

If you remove February 29th from this year, Commercial Vehicle toll revenue is up 3.3% from February of last year.

This chart shows year-to-date toll revenues through the month of February during each year over the past decade.

Year-to-date total toll revenues were \$2.5 million or 6.9% above the amount from last year.

If you subtract February 29, 2016, from this year's total, total toll revenues are up \$1.9 million or 5.1% over last year.

The completes my report, Mr. Chairman.

**Chairman:** Any questions for Marty? Hearing none. We will move on to the report of our General Counsel, Jennifer Stueber.

**General Counsel:** No report, Mr. Chairman.

**Chairman:** Report of our Financial Advisor, Bethany Pugh?

**Financial Advisor:** No report, Mr. Chairman.

**Chairman:** General Consultant, Scott Buchanan?

**General Consultant:** No report, Mr. Chairman.

**Chairman:** Trustee, Biagio Impala?

**Trustee:** No report, Mr. Chairman.

**Chairman:** Ohio State Highway Patrol, Staff Lieutenant Weirtz?

**S/Lt. Weirtz:** Good morning Mr. Chairman and Commission Members. I just have a report of our criminal patrol activities since our last meeting.

On March 2, 2016, Sergeant Laughlin confiscated 626 oxycodone pills and \$10,000.00 in U.S. Currency.

On March 7, 2016, Trooper Holden confiscated 3½ pounds of marijuana and marijuana edibles.

On March 11, 2016, Trooper Malone and Sergeant Arnold confiscated 152 packages of marijuana, which were removed from totes that weighed 189 pounds and that had a street value of more than \$600,000.00.

On March 15, 2016, Sergeant Laughlin confiscated seven, one pound bags of marijuana in a grocery bag containing \$7,000.00 in U.S. Currency.

On March 16, 2016, Trooper Grabel confiscated ninety-two oxycodone pills, thirty-five alprazolam pills and ten grams of hash wax.

On March 17, 2016, Trooper Grabel confiscated 586 various oxycodone pills, which was located in an air vent of the vehicle.

Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report.

**Chairman:** Thank you. Are there any questions for S/Lt. Weirtz? Any comments? Good work as always. It is almost getting where we say “thank you, thank you, thank you” all of the time. We appreciate your good work. Please pass it on to the Troopers.

**Chairman:** That was a busy and active month. Congratulations and thank you for your good work. Any questions for the Lieutenant?

**S/Lt. Weirtz:** Thank you.

**Chairman:** Are there any other questions or comments? Any matters to be brought before the Commission? Hearing none. Our next meeting will be on Monday, April 18, 2016, at 10:00 a.m. If there are no further questions, I will move to adjourn.

**MOTION:** A motion to adjourn is made by Chairman Hruby, seconded by Mrs. Barber and unanimously approved by all Commission Members present.

Time of adjournment: 10:59 a.m.

**Attendees for Record Keeping Purposes:**

Scott Buchanan, AECOM; Bethany Pugh, PFM; Preston Kuzma, IUOE Local 18; Jacob Siesel, IUOE Local 18; Biagio Impala, Huntington; Jim Gates, ODOT; Jennifer Townley, ODOT; Tim McDonald, ODOT; Allen Biehl, ODOT; Dan Depto, ODOT; Laura Beese, ODOT; Steve Rebillot, ODOT; Myron Pakush, ODOT; Lou Huzapis, ODOT; Sarah Brown, HNTB; Howard Huebner, ODOT; Bob Hochevar, ODOT; Tony Urankar, MSG; Zolten Szabo, HMM; Jim Barna, ODOT; Matt Brunig, ODOT; Halle Jones Capers, G. Stephens; Ryan Kozak, PNC; Todd Majidzadeh, Resource International; Beth Fulton, TRC; Chad Bass, OSHP; Jennifer Stueber, Ohio Turnpike; Anthony Yacobucci, Ohio Turnpike; Martin Seekely, Ohio Turnpike; Sharon Isaac, Ohio Turnpike; Matt Cole, Ohio Turnpike; Helen Guggenbiller, Ohio Turnpike; Jennifer Diaz, Ohio Turnpike; Mark Musson, Ohio Turnpike; Diana Anthony, Ohio Turnpike; Chris Matta, Ohio Turnpike; Lisa Mejac, Ohio Turnpike; Tommie Jo Marsilio, Ohio Turnpike; David DeFlorentis, Ohio Turnpike; and Brian Newbacher, Ohio Turnpike.

Approved as a correct transcript of the proceedings  
of the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission

---

Sandra K. Barber, Secretary-Treasurer