



**OHIO TURNPIKE AND
INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION**

ADDENDUM NO. 1
ISSUED SEPTEMBER 13, 2021


to

RFP NO. 13-2021
FOR TIRE SAFETY STATIONS
ISSUED AUGUST 27, 2021

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: 5:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME), SEPTEMBER 24, 2021

ATTENTION OF RESPONDENTS IS DIRECTED TO:
QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 5:00 P.M. ON SEPTEMBER 10, 2021

Issued by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission through Jennifer L. Stueber, Esq., General Counsel.



Jennifer L. Stueber, Esq.,
General Counsel

September 13, 2021
Date

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 5:00 P.M. ON SEPTEMBER 10, 2021:

Q#1 Is this anticipated to be procured with connected vehicle technology?

A#1 This is a Request for Information (“RFI”), and does not constitute a commitment, implied or otherwise, that the Commission will initiate any procurement action. The information contained in the responses to the RFI will help the Commission to advance evaluation and development efforts, which may result in the launch of a formal project and associated procurement activities. The RFI is designed to provide Respondents with the information necessary for the preparation of an appropriate response. It is not intended to be comprehensive, and each Respondent is responsible for determining all factors necessary for submission of a comprehensive response. Responses should be based on the material contained in the RFI or any other relevant information the Respondent believes is appropriate.

Q#2 How much of the tire safety project would be purchasing the physical equipment vs the software to run and process the data?

A#2 See Answer # 1.

Q#3 Is it ok if we submit a public and a confidential version of our response? I ask because we have a significant amount of technology and trade secrets as it relates to our solutions. That being said, we would like to provide a confidential response that includes detailed pictures, specifications, and use case information to offer the OTIC a truly comprehensive presentation of our solution.

A#3 See Answer #1.

Further, as stated in Page 6/10 on the RFI,

“RFI Confidentiality

All Respondents are strongly discouraged from including any information in any response to this RFI that Respondents consider to be a “trade secret,” as that term is defined in Section 1333.61(D) of the Ohio Revised Code. All information submitted in any response to this RFI is public information, unless a statutory exception exists that exempts it from public release. If any information in the RFI is to be treated as a trade secret, the Respondent must:

- Identify each and every occurrence of the information within the RFI with an asterisk before and after each line containing trade secret information and underline the trades-secret information itself.***
- State clearly in conspicuous print “This RFI includes information considered a ‘trade secret’” on the first page of the Response.***
- Include a page immediately after the first page of the Response listing each page in the RFI that includes trade secret information, as well as the number of occurrences of trade secret information on each page.” Emphasis added. ***

Q#4 In addition to a tire safety system, [company] offers an Undercarriage drive-through system – automatic damage detection for issues like rust, component damage, oil leakage, water leakage, missing /broken parts and more. This solution would provide the OTIC an ability to offer a one of a kind diagnostic experience to your customers resulting in additional information that can directly lead to improved safety, cost, and maintenance schedules. While our tire and undercarriage safety systems can function independently, paring them provides enhanced benefits as described. See below for undercarriage detection examples. Would it be ok if we add an OPTION to include our undercarriage safety system as part of our submission?

A#4 See Answer #1.

Q#5 Can you share any timelines and/or milestones as it relates to the partner selection and decision process?

A#5 See Answer #1.

Q#6 Are you able to provide an overhead diagram of a typical service center? Is there any preferred or off limit locations relative to the placement of the system?

A#6 See Exhibit A attached to this Addendum.

See Answer #1. Should the Commission determine to move forward with procurement, the location(s) of any project(s) are subject to approval by the Engineering Department.

Q#7 Is there a budget that has been established for this project and can that be shared? If the budget has not been established, what is the process to determine that budget?

A#7 See Answer #1.

End of Addendum No. 1



E South Range Rd

MP 237.2 WB

SP 237.2

James W. Shocknessy Ohio Turnpike (Toll road)

237

237.1

237.2

237.3