



**OHIO TURNPIKE AND
INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION**

ADDENDUM NO. 2
ISSUED NOVEMBER 20 , 2019

to

PROJECT NO. 43-19-05 (PART A)
BRIDGE REPAIR AND REHABILITATION
OHIO TURNPIKE RAMP OVER OHIO TURNPIKE M.P. 161.5,
OHIO TURNPIKE RAMP OVER OHIO TURNPIKE M.P. 161.8
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO – ISSUED NOVEMBER 6, 2019

PROJECT NO. 43-19-05 (PART B)
BRIDGE DECK REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION
WEBSTER ROAD OVER OHIO TURNPIKE M.P. 162.9,
ABBEY ROAD OVER OHIO TURNPIKE M.P. 164.4
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO – ISSUED NOVEMBER 6, 2019

OPENING DATE: 2:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME), NOVEMBER 27, 2019

ATTENTION OF BIDDERS IS DIRECTED TO:
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 2:00 PM ON NOVEMBER 20, 2019

Issued by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission through Jennifer L. Stueber, Esq., General Counsel.

Jennifer L. Stueber, Esq.,
General Counsel

11/20/19
Date

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 2:00 P.M. ON NOVEMBER 20, 2019:

Q#3 Per drawing sheet 9/30, existing transverse section does not show nelson studs. However, 1984 rehabilitation drawings show nelson studs. Please confirm.

A#3 Please reference Plan Sheet 15 of 30 of Part B which shows the spacing of the existing and proposed shear studs.

Q#4 Can OTIC provide right-of-way maps that includes adjacent property owners.

A#4 The Commission does not maintain current right-of-way maps nor were any developed for this project. The bidders should consider alternate sources for this information, such as Cuyahoga County and their GIS maps, but keep in mind the Commission does not have any control of the information in these maps and thus can not guarantee the information obtained is accurate.

Q#5 For Part 'A' Bridge Repair and Rehabilitation, please clarify what work is being performed under SP202 Portions of Structures removed, as all removals appear to fall under SP519.

A#5 SP 202 includes a requirement for "cleaning existing beams of all cement paste residue resulting from the contractor's removal operation." In the event the contractor's removal for the work covered under SP 519 contaminates the surface of the beams, the contractor will be required to clean the beams. This effort will be performed and paid for under SP 202, Portions of Structure Removed.

Q#6 Plan sheets 18/30 and 28/30 of part B depict the bottom of the bridge deck with squared/vertical haunches. Will the OTIC allow the use of traditional triangular haunches?

A#6 A deviation from the now-standard haunch detail as detailed in the plans will not be permitted.

Q#7 We cannot locate the bridge asbestos survey documentation. Could the Commission confirm that the asbestos survey is complete and that there is no asbestos abatement required?

A#7 An asbestos survey of the bridges has been completed and has determined that no asbestos is present.

Q#8 SP 511B section D requires a 36" walkway width for inspection access. A standard C-49 overhang jack with a C-54 extender gives 66 1/4" of useable surface on the top of the jack. Taking away 33" for the bridge overhang (Abbey Road) leaves 33 1/4" between the edge of deck and face of handrail. Will this distance be acceptable, or will the contractor be required to modify overhang jacks to create a 36" walk? Webster road would give a minimum walkway of 14"; would this be acceptable? Is the 36" dimension from the edge of deck to the face of handrail, or is it measured from the end of form kicker to give 36" of unobstructed walkway?

A#8 Due to the depth of the existing fascia beams and the type of overhang jacks available, a 33" wide walkway is acceptable on Abbey Road. Since the maximum overhang on Webster is 50", it appears the resulting walkway width would be 16", which would be an acceptable width. The walkway width is measured from the edge of the deck to the face of the handrail.

Q#9 Mainline closure note sheet 6/45 states contractor will be required to close a single lane when performing any removal or concrete-related operation. We will also require lane closures for removal and installation of protective structures. Work hours note on sheet 6/45 states no night work is permitted. SP-104 H 6 references Appendix B for lane closures. These tables indicate a strong possibility that a daytime work zone will be required to be removed to allow for backups to be alleviated. Will night work be allowed as indicated in SP-106 (contrary to the plan note on sheet 6/45) in order to minimize impact to traveling public, improve safety, and minimize project duration?

A#9 The note regarding prohibiting night work was revised in response to Question #2 in Addendum 1. Please refer to the response to Q#2 in Addendum #1.

**Receipt of Addendum No. 2
Project No. 43-19-05 (Part A & B) is hereby acknowledged:**

(Firm Name) _____

(Signature) _____

(Printed Name) _____

(Date) _____

**BIDDERS MUST RETURN THE ABOVE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM NO. 1 WITH THEIR BID.**