



**OHIO TURNPIKE AND
INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION**

ADDENDUM NO. 1
ISSUED JANUARY 26, 2026

to

RFP NO. 1-2026
**TO SELECT A CONSULTANT TO DEVELOP A LONG-TERM ENGINEERING ASSET
MANAGEMENT PLAN**

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: 5:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME) FEBRUARY 2, 2026

ATTENTION OF RESPONDENTS IS DIRECTED TO:

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 5:00 P.M. ON JANUARY 20, 2026:

Issued by the Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission through Aimee W. Lane, Esq, Director of Contracts Administration.

Aimee W. Lane

Aimee W. Lane, Esq.,
Director of Contracts Administration

January 26, 2026
Date

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RECEIVED THROUGH 5:00 P.M. ON JANUARY 20, 2026:

Q#1 Would firms who participate in the creation of the Long-Term Asset Management Plan be precluded from participating in subsequent projects that arise out of the plan?

A#1 The Long-Term Asset Management Plan (LTAMP) is intended to be a comprehensive, strategic planning document that establishes priorities and guidance for future infrastructure investments. It does not include detailed design specifications or project-level engineering decisions. Based on this scope, firms participating in the development of the LTAMP do not appear to have a conflict of interest that would preclude them from competing for subsequent projects arising from the plan, such as detailed design or construction work. Any future project procurement will follow applicable conflict-of-interest and transparency requirements to ensure fairness.

Q#2 Can the OTIC confirm whether a firm that is awarded the Long-Term Asset Management Plan contract would be eligible to participate in the subsequent procurement for the Asset Management System implementation phase?

A#2 If the Commission elects to move forward with procurement and implementation of a dedicated Asset Management System, the process will follow applicable conflict-of-interest and transparency requirements to ensure fairness.

Q#3 Does involvement on this contract, prohibit involvement on other OTIC projects?

A#3 See A#1 and A#2.

Q#4 Does OTIC utilize any tool as an asset management system to track its assets throughout their lifecycle? If so, can details of the solution be provided?

A#4 Currently the Commission does not use one asset management tool or system. Various asset inventories, Microsoft Excel spreadsheets, GIS databases, and inspection reports are currently utilized.

Q#5 What data is included in the existing asset inventories, GIS, annual system inspection reports, and condition data?

A#5 Reviewing the existing asset inventories, GIS, annual system inspection reports, and condition data are part of the Scope of Services required under the RFP.

Q#6 Does the data include accurate quantities, ages, conditions, and sufficient information about the asset to determine the cost of maintenance and replacement?

A#6 For several of the asset classes, enough historical information is available to determine the cost of maintenance and replacement.

Q#7 What percentage of accuracy does OTIC consider the state of the asset inventory, and/or are there any data gaps?

A#7 Identifying the data gaps and recommended enhancements are part of the of the Scope of Services required under the RFP

Q#8 Has OTIC previously completed an Asset Management Maturity Assessment? If so, when and can the results be made available?

A#8 The Commission has not previously completed an Asset Management Maturity Assessment.

Q#9 What is the current condition rating methodology for each asset class (e.g., pavements, bridges, facilities, ITS)?

A#9 Pavements are rated using the ODOT PCR. Bridges are rated using National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) requirements. Facilities are not numerically rated, but conditional reports are provided via yearly inspections and reporting. Additional information can be found in Exhibit 1 of the RFP.

Q#10 What format(s) will the data be provided in (GIS, Excel, PDF inspection reports, proprietary systems)? For example, will the consultant have direct access to OTIC's GIS environment, or will data be provided as exports?

A#10 The selected consultant will be provided the files (Excel, PDFs) and will be provided direct access to the necessary information contained in the GIS environment.

Q#11 Are there any existing dashboards, reporting tools, or data warehouses that the LTAMP must integrate with?

A#11 Not at this time.

Q#12 Does OTIC expect the consultant to verify the existing lifecycle curves and cost assumptions?

A#12 Yes, that is a requirement of the of the Scope of Services required under the RFP.

Q#13 How many workshops does OTIC anticipate?

A#13 For the purposes of preparing a submission in response to this RFP, consultants shall anticipate 2 workshop sessions with Commission staff.

Q#14 Will the consultant be expected to coordinate with other contractors or system vendors?

A#14 The Commission does not foresee that the work under this RFP would be required to coordinate with other contractors or system vendors.

Q#15 Regarding RFP No. 1-2026 Consultant to Develop Engineering Long-Term Asset Management Plan, is the May 31, 2026 deliverable deadline contingent upon OTIC meeting another deadline or is their flexibility to the deadline based on complexity of deliverables?

A#15 Currently, it is anticipated that an award for this RFP will be made at the February 17, 2026, Commission meeting. If the award date does not occur, the deliverable due date will be adjusted accordingly.

Q#16 Per the RFP, final deliverables are due for this project on May 31, 2026. By my understanding of the OTIC procurement process, an NTP is not anticipated until mid-April. This leaves about 1.5 months to complete the work, which requires heavy involvement from OTIC staff. Will there be an opportunity to adjust the deliverable date, or is this date firm?

A#16 See Answer #15.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1