

OHIO TURNPIKE COMMISSION

Resolution No. 322 - 1953 Ratifying Actions of Chairman
Requesting Advices from Highway Director With Respect
to Studies of a North-and-south Turnpike in Ohio

WHEREAS on or about November 7, 1952, the chairman of the Commission, pursuant to resolution No. 88-1952, requested the director of highways to advise the Commission whether or not it is appropriate that studies be undertaken to determine the feasibility of a turnpike terminating at Cincinnati and originating in the general area of Toledo;

WHEREAS in response to the aforesaid request, the director of highways, by letter dated July 8, 1953, and orally on July 9, 1953, rendered his advice to the Commission, and the Commission on July 9, 1953, duly and carefully considered the same and thereupon asserted its position with respect to the matters therein contained; and

WHEREAS the chairman, acting for and on behalf of the Commission and upon the informal direction of the members thereof, by letter dated July 15, 1953, directed and delivered to the director of highways, did, with respect to that portion of the aforesaid turnpike northward from U. S. Route 40, alter the request theretofore made by the Commission and did request the director to complete his preliminary study of a north-and-south turnpike in Ohio to include a connection with Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 rather than a specific terminal point in the area of Toledo; did request the director to advise the Commission whether it is appropriate that studies be undertaken immediately to determine if a turnpike connection from Conneaut to Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 may be feasible; and, further, did authorize the director to establish priority in his office for the several studies requested by the Commission;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that the Commission does hereby reaffirm the position taken by it on July 9, 1953, and confirm and ratify its chairman's action of July 15, 1953, in making the requests aforesaid of the director of highways and in granting the aforesaid authorization to said director.

Adoption moved by Allen

Motion seconded by Leagarden

Voting Yes: Allen, M. Kay, Thurgood & Schocknessy (Dinger not voting)

Voting No _____