

MINUTES OF THE EIGHTY-FIRST MEETING
JANUARY 15, 1954

The Ohio Turnpike Commission met in regular open session in its offices at 139 East Gay Street in Columbus, Ohio, at 11:15 o'clock A. M. on January 15, 1954, with the key members of its staff, representatives of the Consulting Engineer, of the Trustee, members of the press, and others in attendance.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, the roll was called, and the attendance was reported to be as follows:

Present: Allen, McKay, Teagarden, Linzell, Shocknessy.

Absent: None.

The Chairman announced that a quorum was present.

A motion was made by Mr. McKay, seconded by Mr. Teagarden, that the minutes for the meetings of November 4, 1953, and November 24, 1953, which had been examined by the members of the Commission, and upon which the required corrections had been made, be approved respectively without reading.

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: McKay, Teagarden, Linzell, Allen, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the motion carried.

The Chairman reported that in a year-end annual statement on behalf of the Commission, he had recited

some of the accomplishments of the Commission during 1953, and had made some statements with respect to hopes and aspirations for the ensuing year and had specifically said that it was still the Commission's hope that the north and south turnpike would be made the subject of study during the year of 1954, and that, as the Commission and the Governor had originally suggested, the Commission hoped that its second project which it expected to originate at Cincinnati and proceed generally north would provide a connection with Toledo and the northwestern part of the state, as well as with the Pennsylvania border in the vicinity of Conneaut, and that the Commission also hoped that a connection would be made from the north and south turnpike or from whatever other artery might appear practicable to the Pennsylvania border with a connection south of Petersburg so that traffic originating in the west and entering Ohio on State Route U.S. 40, and traffic originating in the east and coming west on the Pennsylvania Turnpike seeking Route 40 might have an adequate connection other than the existing connection by crossing the river at Wheeling and proceeding on through Monongahela and a highly congested area in Pennsylvania. He said that it was manifest that the constitutional appropriation of \$500,000,000 for freeways could hardly be intelligently distributed before the ultimate determination should be made on the extent of a toll-road system in Ohio. The Chairman said that he believed it was manifest also that if the full scope of the toll-road system in Ohio should be realized by the development of a turnpike from Cincinnati north generally toward the New York Thruway with a connection at Toledo and a connection at the Pennsylvania border south of Petersburg, whether from the northern turnpike or from another highway, and with then an intelligent application of the \$500,000,000 for freeways, that the State of Ohio would have a system of highways composed of a toll-road system and a freeway system which would be finer and better, and more extensive than that of any comparable political subdivision in the world. The Chairman said that he would seek the Commission's approval to amend the Commission's letter of July 15, 1953, wherein the Commission had amended an earlier

request with respect to a turnpike from Cincinnati to Toledo to provide for the connections he had been discussing.

The Chairman reported further that he and several members of the staff had attended a conference of the American Road Builders in Atlantic City on January 5 and 7, 1954, and had had some further conferences in New York on January 8 and January 11, 1954, with the bankers who were preparing an annual report on the status of the Ohio Turnpike Commission. He explained that the Commission was constantly under surveillance by investors in the Commission bonds, and that regular reports had been given the investors. He said he thought that those reports had had a great deal to do with the position which the Commission's bonds had maintained on the market; which position, he said, was stronger than that of New Jersey's recent one hundred and fifty-million dollar issue sold twenty-eight months after the New Jersey Turnpike had gone into operation and had made a magnificent experience. The Chairman said that the sale of revenue bonds by the Indiana Toll Road Commission at three and one-half percent made the experience of the Ohio Commission look even better and he commented that the Indiana Commission had also taken down the total amount of its bonds just as the Ohio Commission had and as the pattern for financing turnpike projects would seem to suggest. He said that it was his firm belief that, had the Ohio Commission not taken down the three hundred and twenty-six million dollars when it did but, instead, had gone back to get the money in subsequent lots, the Commission would not have gotten any subsequent lots and would have paid more for whatever money it got.

The Chairman reported that in connection with the solicitation of bids for toll collection equipment, conferences had been held on January 11, 1954, with representatives of Remington Rand, Incorporated, on January 12, 1954 with representatives of Taller & Cooper, Incorporated, and on January 13, 1954 with representatives of International Business Machines Corporation. He said that he understood that Taller & Cooper, Incorporated had had a party

in Columbus several days previously which he had not attended. He said that he would not have attended even if he had been invited, which he had not, because he did not believe in selling equipment or services to the Commission at cocktail parties, and that so far the Commission had never accepted such hospitality from people who sought to do business with it. He said that he looked with a jaundiced eye at those who sought to do business with the Commission on the basis of maintaining a lobby, and that what he was saying was not said merely for Taller & Cooper but for anybody.

The Chairman said that receipt of bids for the radio communications system had been deferred from January 7 to January 21, 1954. He said that a conference between representatives of the Ohio Hotel Association and Mr. Allen's committee on service areas had been arranged for that afternoon. He said that a report of proposed locations for service areas had been transmitted to the members of the Commission by the Chief Engineer.

The Chairman called the attention of the members of the Commission to the fact that the Annual Report of the Commission for 1953 was before it, and that the report must be filed with the General Assembly prior to February 1, 1954. He reported that the Auditor of State had his representatives working in the building and had begun the audit which had been authorized by the 100th General Assembly, and that Mr. Thomas of the Auditor's office was present at the meeting.

The Chairman stated as he said he had stated before, that it had never been his intention to make a career out of building turnpikes. He said that long ago he had undertaken to make a career out of being a lawyer but that that career had been interrupted to some extent in the previous several years by the purpose of helping build a road, and that he had advised Governor Lausche many months ago that it was his hope to retire from the Commission as soon as practicable, and that it seemed to him that the practicable time would be when all the contracts had been awarded and an ultimate

determination thus made that the finances available would accomplish the purposes envisioned. He said that that determination had been proved at the Commission meeting of December 22, 1953. The Chairman said that he believed also that the members of the Commission were under a duty to keep the Commission intact until after the constitutional session of the General Assembly, which had just been completed. He said further that he had long hoped that when the Commission should get its task as far along as it had he would be able to retire from the Commission, and that accordingly after it had become apparent several days previously during the constitutional session, although not part of the official accomplishments of the constitutional session, that moneys would be made available by the Controlling Board for the studies he had mentioned earlier he had submitted to the Governor his resignation from membership on the Commission. He said that he had submitted the resignation under date of January 10, 1954, effective at the close of business January 15, 1954. The Chairman said that the Governor had disagreed with his that the task was so nearly completed that the intactness of the Commission was not still a desire in the best interests of the public, and that since the Governor had urged that he remain, although his personal disposition was otherwise, at the Governor's urgent request, as Chief Executive of Ohio, he had withdrawn the resignation and would remain a member of the Commission until such time as it again appeared to him that he and other members of the present Commission might not be required to spend their whole lives building roads. The Chairman reported that the Governor had said that, while it was true that the contracts had been awarded, there would be many problems which the existing Commission was familiar with during the period of construction and which would be very difficult for a new membership to assimilate or solve. The Chairman remarked that the fallacy in that was that before the Commission would actually have the whole northern road open it probably would be building a new system from north to south, and center to northwest, and center to east, and in that event it could be seen how the matter of road building could go on indefinitely. There would always be a job to do in the future that the members of the Commission could be

expected to continue to do, he said.

The Chairman asked if it was agreeable with the Commission to send a letter to the Director of Highways along the lines that had been discussed. Mr. Teagarden said that he had no objection. Mr. McKay said that he agreed thoroughly with the amendment of the letter to the Highway Director and that he did so because he had believed firmly ever since he had been on the Commission, first, that any turnpike project should be integrated and fit very closely with the plans of the State Highway Department and the plans under the five hundred million-dollar bond issue, and, second, that the ability to finance a toll turnpike was based upon a careful and a sound volume of traffic that made it feasible to sell bonds. He said that he thought that in addition to the Cincinnati-Conneaut turnpike with a connection to Toledo that the area that was open in Ohio for turnpike development was that east-central area north of route 40 and south of Project No. 1. He pointed particularly to the new and enormous chemical industry that was developing in the southwestern part of the state.

Mr. McKay said that he had been very pleased to read the report of the Chief Engineer and the Consulting Engineer on the location of service areas. He said that he thought it was an excellent job.

The Chairman said that he would like to compliment the Comptroller, John Soller, on some of the fine reports he had given the Commission. Mr. McKay said that he would supplement the Chairman's remarks by saying that he had heard that the contractors were particularly pleased with the rapidity with which the estimates of their actual cost were getting through to the Consulting Engineer and to Mr. Soller and with the rapidity with which their checks were getting back to them. The Chairman remarked that the Executive Director had said that the contractors had told him that they were paid faster by the Commission than they had ever been paid by any public body before. Mr. John Blanpied, representing the Trustee, said that the Trustee

would like to add its word of commendation to Mr. Soller on the fine relationship between the Trustee and the Comptroller's office.

The Assistant Secretary-Treasurer, reporting for the Secretary-Treasurer, said that the draft of the minutes for the meetings of November 4, 1953, and November 24, 1953, had been mailed to the members January 4, 1954; that detail of December investment transactions had been mailed on January 4, 1954; that unaudited monthly financial reports as of December 31, 1953, had been mailed on January 8, 1954. He said that the income from investments at the end of 1953 was \$9,020,000 compared to the original forecast for the same period of \$5,094,000, or a gain of \$3,926,000. He said that an interesting comparison was that in the single month of December, 1953, the Commission's investment income was \$13,000 greater than in the month of December, 1952, in spite of there being \$43,000,000 less principal amount of government securities. He said that for the period ending December 31, 1953 the average daily income from investments had been \$17,414 and that that was the highest point the running average to date had reached.

The Assistant Secretary-Treasurer reported that the earliest maturity of any security then held was February 15, 1954. He said that as money was needed for payments the co-trustee was selling two-and-a-quarter percent certificates prior to maturity, and that fortunately the market had been strong, and that those certificates had been sold at a premium of 13/32s. He said that every one of the Commission's marketable investments was selling at a premium and that the Commission had followed the practice of valuing its securities at cost plus amortization of premium or discount. He said that the practice reflected no variations in market value from time to time but that, however, a comparison as of the day before indicated that the then market value of the Commission's securities was more than a million dollars in excess of the value shown on its books. He said that Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Company had completed the year-end audit and

that their report would be ready in time for inclusion with the Annual Report for 1953. He said that working space had been provided for representatives of the State Auditor's office on the fourth floor of the Commission's headquarters building.

Mr. Allen said that he thought that the good words that the Trustee and the Co-Trustee had had for the Comptroller and the confidence that they had built up in the Commission had showed great value on one occasion where an investment plan had been submitted to the Commission and the Commission, with the confidence that they had in the Trustee and the Co-Trustee, had approved it immediately. He said that if it had not been approved then it would never have been of any value because the Commission would not have been able to have invested the hundred and one million dollars that it did invest immediately because the Treasury of the United States had withdrawn the issue of federal securities involved.

The Chief Engineer, reporting for the Executive Director who was absent, said that construction work had slowed down somewhat because of the weather but that most of the contractors were continuing to work on structures. He said that it was very important that that work continue and he added that the contractors were cooperating and trying to meet their schedules and to do whatever work they could during the winter months.

The Chief Engineer said that during the previous few weeks the Commission had received numerous comments and suggestions from prospective bidders on the radio-communication system, and that all comments and suggestions had been thoroughly reviewed by the Consulting Engineer, the General Counsel and his staff, and the staff of the Chief Engineer. He said that as a result of the comments and suggestions and also in order to give the bidders an ample opportunity to submit intelligent and good bids the opening date for the radio communication system bids had been moved from January 7, 1954, to January 21, 1954, with a readvertisement.

The Chief Engineer reported that as a result of comments and suggestions with respect to technical details received during the all-day conferences with firms who were definitely interested in bidding on the toll collection equipment the Commission was giving those bidders an additional length of time to submit their bids, and that the opening date for the bids had been moved from January 21, 1954 to February 16, 1954. He said that a great deal of interest in the specifications of both systems had been evidenced and that the prospective bidders had expressed themselves as being confident that they could build the systems.

The Chief Engineer said that he would like to submit for Commission approval the question of employment of an architect to design the administration building proposed to be built in the vicinity of Berea. The Chairman inquired how the Commission stood on land for the building. The General Counsel said that he had been informed that the engineering work necessary to identify the land was under way and that the next steps would be the preparation of legal descriptions followed by appraisals and negotiation. The Chairman said that he thought that that work ought to be pushed. The Chief Engineer said that negotiations had been conducted by Mr. John J. Jenkins of the Consulting Engineer with Stickle and Associates, architects in Cleveland, for the design of the building, and that Mr. Stickle was present. He said that Mr. Jenkins had discussed with Mr. Stickle the work required and had come to an understanding as to what was to be done and the fee which was to be suggested to the Commission. Mr. Jenkins said that there had been numerous meetings with Mr. Stickle and that he was convinced that Stickle and Associates were very well qualified to do the work. He said that the tentative understanding with Mr. Stickle was that the latter could undertake to start preliminary studies immediately, and that after the Commission had approved the preliminary layouts the work could be completed within seventy-five days so that at that time the Commission would be ready to take bids on the building. Mr. Jenkins said

that the matter of fees had been discussed and that the Consulting Engineer recommended a fee of six percent which, he said, was in line or identical with the fee which the Department of Public Works of the State of Ohio would pay for similar work. He said that the state's scale provided for a six percent fee for buildings ranging in cost up to a million dollars. Mr. Stickle, who was present, was presented to the members of the Commission. He asked that his firm receive the requirements for the building as soon as possible.

Mr. McKay asked whether the members of the Commission would receive a report of the functional purposes of the building particularly with regard as to how much accounting of or machine work on records would be done prior to shipping the records to Columbus. He asked whether there would be a report of that sort prior to issuance of instructions to the architect as to the functions to design for.

The Chief Engineer said that one of the first things that would need to be done would be a discussion with the architect to determine what functions would be required in the administration building. He said that there would be a study made, and that conferences with the Comptroller would be held. The Chief Engineer assured Mr. McKay that there would be a report to the Commission prior to final authorization.

Mr. Jenkins said that the preliminary plans would go through several phases and that he would suggest that at the time the very first pre-preliminary plans were ready that the report be submitted at that time with the plans. Mr. McKay asked whether the report would deal with the question of whether or not an additional story was to go on the building. He said that he had understood that the decision on that point would rest on a final analysis to be made by the Comptroller's department as to what split of handling was to be done in the field versus shipment by truck to Columbus. The Chief Engineer said that that matter would be included in the report. The Chief Engineer

said that the Consulting Engineer and he recommended that the Commission employ Stickle & Associates for the performance of the design and supervision of construction of the administration building and administration building area proposed in the vicinity of Berea.

Resolution No. 1-1954, authorizing employment of an architect to furnish services in connection with an administration building for Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, was moved for adoption by Mr. Teagarden, seconded by Mr. McKay, as follows:

"WHEREAS it is or will be necessary for the Commission to retain the services of an architect in connection with the construction of an administration building for Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, to be located in Cuyahoga County, Ohio;

WHEREAS the Commission has considered the employment of an architect for the rendition of all architectural services in connection with the design and supervision of construction of said administration building; and

WHEREAS the Commission's consulting engineer has submitted to the Commission its recommendation for the employment of Stickle & Associates, a partnership composed of George W. Stickle and Robert W. Stickle, for the performance of all architectural services in connection with the design and supervision of construction of said administration building and the Commission has fully considered the same and is satisfied as to the professional competence of said firm and its ability to perform said services, and is also satisfied that the Commission should enter into a contract with it for the performance of said services, provided terms of such a contract can be negotiated which will be approved by the Commission's executive director, its consulting engineer, and its general counsel;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that the Commission's executive director be, and he hereby is, authorized to enter into a contract on behalf of the Commission with Stickle & Associates, architects, a partnership composed of George W. Stickle and Robert W. Stickle, for the performance of all architectural services in connection with the design and supervision of the construction of an administration building for Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, to be located in Cuyahoga County, Ohio; provided, however, that said contract shall be subject to the approval of the Commission's general counsel and of the Commission's consulting engineer."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Teagarden, McKay, Allen, Linzell, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the resolution adopted.

The Chief Engineer, in response to an inquiry by Mr. McKay, said that the matter of the technical layout and planning of lighting facilities at interchanges and service areas was being handled by Mr. McClain for the Consulting Engineer and Mr. Deetz for the Commission.

Mr. Allen remarked that the Commission then owned the building in which it was operating. The Chairman said that it had been estimated that the space occupied by the Commission possibly was not costing it more than about fifty cents a square foot. Mr. McKay said that that was pretty good.

Resolution No. 2-1954, fixing the date of the Commission's regular meeting in February, 1954, was moved for adoption by Mr. Allen, seconded by Mr. Teagarden, as follows:

"WHEREAS the Commission desires to fix a date other than the first Tuesday of February, 1954 for its regular monthly meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that the next regular monthly meeting of the Commission shall be held at the Commission's principal office on Tuesday, February 9, 1954, and shall be convened at 11:00 A. M., official Columbus time."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Allen, Teagarden, Linzell, McKay, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the resolution adopted.

Resolution No. 3-1954, approving and adopting fifth annual report, was moved for adoption by Mr. Allen, seconded by Mr. Teagarden, as follows:

"WHEREAS it is provided by §5537.17 Revised Code of Ohio that the Commission shall, on or before the first day of February, in each year, make an annual report of its activities for the preceding calendar year to the governor and the general assembly and, further, that such report shall set forth a complete operating and financial statement covering the Commission's operations during the year; and

WHEREAS the fifth annual report has been compiled and is now before the Commission;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that said fifth annual report be, and

the same hereby is, approved and adopted."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Allen, Teagarden, Linzell, McKay, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the resolution adopted.

The Executive Assistant reported to the Commission that he had discussed the increasing responsibility of the Department of Information and Research with the Executive Director, and that the Executive Director was in essential agreement that the job had gotten to be of such responsibility and prospectively was of such responsibility that it logically belonged directly within the jurisdiction of the Commission rather than within the jurisdiction of the Executive Director.

The Chairman said that he had been so advised by the Executive Assistant, and that he had advised the Commission and that with the agreement of the Commission the General Counsel had prepared a resolution.

Resolution No. 4-1954, amending Article V, Sec. 3 and Article V, Sec. 8 of the Commission's Code of by-laws, was moved for adoption by Mr. McKay, seconded by Mr. Teagarden, as follows:

"WHEREAS the nature and importance of the duties and responsibilities which have devolved upon the department of information and research, and the volume of its work, make it desirable that said department be placed directly under and report to the Commission itself;

WHEREAS the Commission, on July 9, 1953, by resolution 301-1953, adopted a code of by-laws; and

WHEREAS the Commission now deems it advisable to amend said code with respect to the organization of its staff;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that Article V, Sec. 3 of the Commission's code of by-laws be amended by deleting the words "Information and Research Department" and "Director" where the same appear under the headings "Department or Section" and "Head", respectively, in said section; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that Article V, Sec. 8 of said code be amended by inserting after the period, at the end of said section, the following: "The director of information and research shall report and be answerable to the Commission."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: McKay, Teagarden, Linzell, Allen, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the resolution adopted.

Resolution No. 5-1954, fixing the salary of the Director of Information and Research, was moved for adoption by Mr. McKay, seconded by Mr. Allen, as follows:

"RESOLVED that the rate of compensation of the Commission's director of information and research, effective January 16, 1954, be and the same hereby is, fixed at \$12,000.00 per annum."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: McKay, Allen, Teagarden, Linzell,
Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the resolution adopted.

The General Counsel reported that as of the date, January 9, 1954, of the latest tabulation with respect to appropriation cases the Commission had reached the three-hundredth appropriation case. He said at that date one hundred fifty-seven cases had been commenced and concluded either by agreement or by jury verdicts and judgments on the verdicts and payments into court of the amount of the judgments although in one or two cases appeals were pending, but that for the purposes of getting possession of the land the cases had been absolutely concluded. He said that there had been one hundred forty-three cases pending in one status or another as of January 9, 1954, and that out of those cases forty-six were in some stage of preparation; that is, that they had been certified for condemnation by the negotiators, but in some instances the engineers had been preparing surveys which were required for the purposes of an appropriation case or information had been in process of collection with respect to the parties and various other things that had to be done. The General Counsel said that of the remaining ninety-seven cases, settlements had been agreed to in fairly firm form in thirty-eight cases which, however, had not gotten to the place at which the money had been paid and judgment entered or entry of dismissal made or whatever device was to be used. He said that the other fifty-nine cases out of the ninety-seven cases had been pending in court and that as to those cases a definitely subsisting controversy existed. He said that as of January 9, 1954, trial dates had been set in twenty-two of the fifty-nine cases, and that since that date trials had been started in some of the cases.

The General Counsel said that the three hundred cases involved a total of two hundred fifty-four so-called

mainline parcels. He said that he had made no tabulation of the number of supplemental parcels involved but that they would aggregate several hundred and that the majority of the cases involving mainline parcels also involved one or more supplemental parcels. He said that of the mainline parcels involved the Commission had acquired a right to enter upon the property for the purposes of construction with respect to one hundred ninety-eight, leaving fifty-six parcels on which it did not yet have such a right of entry. He explained that right of entry generally had been acquired by conclusion of the case involved, although in a few instances the landowner had been induced to grant a right of entry in advance of the trial of the case.

The General Counsel reported that in the case of the State of Ohio, ex rel. the City of Elyria v. Shocknessy et al., the Common Pleas Court of Franklin County, in which the case had been pending, on the last day of 1953 had handed down its third decision in the case in which the court, for the second time, had ruled that the Commission's demurrer to the petition of the city should be sustained. In other words, he said, the court had determined that the plaintiff had failed to state any cause of action and as a matter of law had not been entitled even on the facts which it claimed to exist to the relief it had sought. He said further that the court had determined that the City of Elyria had no legal say about the location, character, and extent of the turnpike within the City of Elyria. He said that four days previously the judgment entry had gone on in that case, disposing of it finally in the court of Common Pleas. He said that he had been informed the previous afternoon that the city had filed a notice of appeal in the case.

The General Counsel reported that in the case involving Elyria which was pending in the Supreme Court of Ohio the City of Elyria had filed its answer about mid-December purporting the show cause why the court should not grant the relief sought by the Commission, the issuance of a writ of mandamus. He said that the next step was for the Commission to file its reply, and that he expected that

the reply would be filed that day or the next day.

The General Counsel presented to the Commission the written statement of the Chief of the Right-of-Way Section with respect to the unsuccessful efforts of the Commission's negotiators to reach agreement with respect to the amounts to be paid by the Commission for certain parcels of land and his recommendation that such properties be appropriated; also, the written statement of the Chief Engineer that the acquisition of this property was necessary for the construction of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1; and the General Counsel's written recommendation that title be acquired as and to the extent set forth in the forms of resolutions presented to the Commission by the General Counsel. He explained that the usual statement of, concurrence of the Executive Director had not been appended because of the latter's absence.

Resolutions Nos. 6-1954, 7-1954, 8-1954, 9-1954, 10-1954, 11-1954, 12-1954, 13-1954, and 14-1954, declaring the necessity of appropriating property and directing that proceedings to effect such appropriation be begun and prosecuted, were moved for adoption respectively by Mr. Linzell, seconded respectively by Mr. McKay, as follows:

Resolution No. 6-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Michael C. Daumit	7638 Royalton Road North Royalton, Ohio
Helen M. Daumit	7638 Royalton Road North Royalton, Ohio
County Auditor of Summit County	Summit County Court House Akron, Ohio
County Treasurer of Summit County	Summit County Court House Akron, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 141-U -- Permanent Easement for Highway Purposes

Situated in the Township of Richfield, County of Summit and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Richfield Township Lot No. 10, Tract No. 4, and bounded as follows:

Northerly and Southerly by the Northerly and Southerly lines of land conveyed to Michael C. Daumit and Helen M. Daumit, by Deed recorded in Volume 3052, Page 368 of Summit County Deed Records; Easterly by the center line of the Cleveland-Massillon Road (U.S. Route No. 21), and Westerly by a line drawn parallel to and distant 78.50 feet Westerly of, measured at right angles to the center line of said road."

Resolution No. 7-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Margaret E. Carek	909 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
Anthony F. Carek	909 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
County Auditor of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio
County Treasurer of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 120-EE -- Permanent Easement for Highway
Purposes

Situated in the City of Elyria, County of Lorain and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Elyria Township Lot No. 33, East of Black River, and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Margaret E. Simon, dated June 26, 1946 and recorded in Volume 390, Page 28 of Lorain County Deed Records, lying Westerly of a line parallel to and distant 50 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from the center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof lying within the bounds of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road, as now established."

Resolution No. 8-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Irene W. Ringwald	913 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
Benjamin F. Ringwald	913 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
The Lorain County Savings & Trust Company	Elyria, Ohio
County Auditor of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio
County Treasurer of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 120-FF -- Permanent Easement for Highway
Purposes

Situated in the City of Elyria, County of Lorain and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Elyria Township Lot No. 33, East of Black River, and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Irene W. Ringwald, dated April 13, 1945 and recorded in Volume 338,

Page 590 of Lorain County Deed Records, lying Westerly of a line drawn parallel to and distant 50 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from the center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof lying within the bounds of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road, as now established."

Resolution No. 9-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Laural G. Cicala, Formerly Laura G. Bates	927 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
Ralph P. Cicala	927 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
The Lorain County Savings & Trust Company	Elyria, Ohio
County Auditor of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio
County Treasurer of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 120-GG--Permanent Easement for Highway
Purposes

Situated in the City of Elyria, County of Lorain and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Elyria Township Lot No. 33, East of Black River, and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Ralph P. Cicala, dated April 27, 1951 and recorded in Volume 514, Page 308 of Lorain County Deed Records, bounded as follows:

Westerly by the center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road;

Northerly by a line drawn Easterly at right angles from a point on said center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road, distant 550 feet Northerly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in Volume 15, Page 40 of Lorain County Map Records;

Easterly by a line parallel to and distant 50 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from said center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road;

Southerly by the Southerly line of lands described in the deed as aforesaid.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof lying within the bounds of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road, as now established."

Resolution 10-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Adah Irene Deetz	936 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
Lawrence E. Deetz	936 Gulf Road Elyria, Ohio
County Auditor of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio
County Treasurer of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 120-HH -- Permanent Easement for Highway Purposes

Situated in the City of Elyria, County of Lorain and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Elyria Township Lot No. 162, East of Black River, and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Adah Irene Deetz, dated June 15, 1940 and recorded in Volume 306, Page 275 of Lorain County Deed Records, bounded as follows:

Easterly by the center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road;

Northerly by a line drawn Westerly at right angles from a point on said center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road, distant 550 feet Northerly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in Volume 15, Page 40 of Lorain County Map Records;

Westerly by a line parallel to and distant 60 feet Westerly, measured at right angles from said center line of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road;

Southerly by the Southerly line of lands described in the deed as aforesaid.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof lying within the bounds of Sheffield Lake-Elyria Road, as now established."

Resolution No. 11 - 1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Kenneth A. Buck	R.D.#3, Elyria, Ohio
Fern Buck	R.D.#3, Elyria, Ohio
Claude Erwin	R.D.#1, Elyria, Ohio
County Auditor of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House Elyria, Ohio
County Treasurer of Lorain County	Lorain County Court House, Elyria, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 123A-124L(1) -- Permanent Easement for
Highway Purposes

Situated in the Township of Ridgeville, County of Lorain and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Ridgeville Township Lots Nos. 15 and 22 and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Kenneth A. Buck, dated September 14, 1947, and recorded in Volume 360, Page 45 of Lorain County Deed Records, and bounded and described as follows:

Beginning on the center line of Root Road at its intersection with a line drawn parallel to and distant 130 feet Northerly, measured on a line normal to the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in Volume 15, Page 44 of Lorain County Map Records; thence Southeasterly along said parallel line to its intersection with a line drawn 70 feet Easterly, measured at right angles to the center line of Root Road; thence Northwesterly in a direct line to a point distant 30 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from a point on the center line of Root Road, distant 988.76 feet Northwesterly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of said Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as aforesaid; thence Westerly at right angles to the center line of Root Road, 30 feet to the center line thereof; thence Southerly along the center line of Root Road to the place of beginning.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof lying within the bounds of Root Road, as now established.

Parcel No. 123A-124L(3) -- Temporary Easement for Detour Purposes

Situated in the Township of Ridgeville, County of Lorain and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Ridgeville Township Lots Nos. 15 and 22, and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Kenneth A. Buck, dated September 14, 1947, and recorded in Volume 360, Page 45 of Lorain County Deed Records, and bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point distant 340 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from the center line of Root Road, at its intersection with a line drawn parallel to and distant 130 feet Northerly, measured on a line normal to the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plats recorded in Volume 15, Pages 44 and 45 of Lorain County Map Records; thence Northerly and parallel with the center line of Root Road to a point distant 340 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from a point on the center line of Root Road, distant 918.76 feet Northwesterly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of said Ohio Turnpike

Project No. 1 as aforesaid; thence Northwesterly in a direct line to a point distant 70 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from a point on the center line of Root Road, distant 1,123.76 feet Northwesterly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of said Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 as aforesaid; thence Northwesterly in a direct line to a point distant 30 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from a point on the center line of Root Road, distant 1,188.76 feet Northwesterly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of said Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 as aforesaid; thence Southeasterly and parallel with the center line of Root Road, 85 feet; thence Southeasterly in a direct line to a point distant 263 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from a point on the center line of Root Road, distant 925.76 feet Northwesterly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of said Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 as aforesaid; thence Southeasterly in a direct line to a point distant 300 feet Easterly, measured at right angles from a point on the center line of Root Road, distant 853.76 feet Northwesterly, measured along said center line from its intersection with the center line of said Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 as aforesaid; thence Southerly and parallel with the center line of Root Road to its intersection with a line drawn parallel to and distant 130 feet Northerly, measured on a line normal to the center line of said Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1 as aforesaid; thence Southeasterly along said parallel line to the place of beginning.

Parcel No. 123A-124L(4) -- Permanent Easement for
Drainage Purposes

Situated in the Township of Ridgeville, County of Lorain and State of Ohio and known as being part of Original Ridgeville Township Lot No. 15, bounded as follows:

Southerly by a line parallel to and distant 130 feet Northerly from, measured on a line normal to, the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plats recorded in Volume 15, Pages 44 and 45 of Lorain County Map Records;

Northerly by a line parallel to and distant 200 feet Northerly from, measured on a line normal to, said Turnpike center line;

Westerly by a line drawn Northerly, normal to said center line, from Station 993+27 on said center line;

Easterly by a line drawn Northerly, normal to said center line, from Station 993+87 on said center line."

Resolution No. 12-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property, and the easements and rights hereinafter described, from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Alfred Waggoner	R.R. #1, Lindsey, Ohio
Emma Lenora Waggoner	R.R. #1, Lindsey, Ohio
Bradford Supply Company	Bradford, Pennsylvania
The Lindsey Banking Company of Lindsey, Ohio	Lindsey, Ohio
County Auditor of Sandusky County	Sandusky County Court House Fremont, Ohio
County Treasurer of Sandusky County	Sandusky County Court House Fremont, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 71-A - 72-C -- Fee Simple

Situated in the Township of Washington, County of Sandusky and State of Ohio and known as being part of Original Washington Township Section No. 1, Town 5 North, Range 14 East and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Alfred Waggoner, dated August 9, 1929 and recorded in Volume 126, page 335 of Sandusky County Deed Records lying within a strip of land 280 feet wide between parallel lines, the Northeasterly line of said strip being parallel to and distant 130 feet Northeasterly, measured on a line normal to the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plats recorded in Volume 9, pages 2 and 3 of Sandusky County Map Records, and the Southwesterly line of said strip being parallel to and distant 150 feet Southwesterly, measured on a line normal to said center line.

Parcel No. 71-A - 72-C(2) -- Permanent Easement for
Drainage Purposes

Situated in the Township of Washington, County of Sandusky and State of Ohio and known as being part of Original Washington Township Section No. 1, Town 5 North, Range 14 East and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Alfred Waggoner, dated August 9, 1929 and recorded in Volume 126, Page 335 of Sandusky County Deed Records bounded as follows:

On the Northeasterly side by a line drawn parallel to and distant 150 feet Southwesterly of, measured on a line normal to the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plats recorded in Volume 9, pages 2 and 3 of Sandusky County Map Records; on the Southwesterly side by a line drawn parallel to and distant 300 feet Southwesterly of, measured on a line normal to the said center line; on the Northwesterly side by a line drawn normal, Southwesterly, from the center line at Station 285+50 on the said center line;

on the Southeasterly side by a line drawn normal, Southwesterly, from the center line at Station 288+00.

Parcel No. 71-A - 72-C (3) -- Permanent Easement for
Drainage Purposes

Situated in the Township of Washington, County of Sandusky and State of Ohio and known as being part of Original Washington Township Section No. 1, Town 5 North, Range 14 East and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Alfred Waggoner, dated August 9, 1929 and recorded in Volume 126, page 335 of Sandusky County Deed Records bounded as follows:

On the Northeasterly side by a line drawn parallel to and distant 250 feet Northeasterly of, measured on a line normal to the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plats recorded in Volume 9, pages 2 and 3 of Sandusky County Map Records; on the Southwesterly side by a line drawn parallel to and distant 130 feet Northeasterly of, measured on a line normal to said center line; on the Northwesterly side by a line drawn normal Northeasterly, from the said center line at Station 284+00; on the Southeasterly side by a line drawn normal Northeasterly, from the said center line at Station 288+00.

The aforementioned rights to be appropriated are as follows:

First: Any and all abutters' rights, including access rights, appurtenant to any remaining portion of the lands of said owner or owners of which the above-described real estate shall have formed a part prior hereto, in, over, or to the parcel described above as Parcel No. 71-A - 72-C, including such rights to any turnpike constructed thereon;

Second: All rights to erect on any of the aforesaid remaining lands any billboard, sign, notice, poster, or other advertising device which would be visible from the travelway of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and which is not now upon said lands."

Resolution No. 13-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property, the easements and rights hereinafter described, from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Anna Loretta Sprague	2704 Pickle Road, Toledo, Ohio
Alvin T. Sprague	2704 Pickle Road, Toledo, Ohio
County Auditor of Wood County	Wood County Court House Bowling Green, Ohio
County Treasurer of Wood County	Wood County Court House Bowling Green, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 55-J(1) -- Permanent Easement for Drainage
Purposes

Situated in the Township of Perrysburg, County of Wood and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Perrysburg Township Section No. 12, Town 4, United States Reserve and bounded as follows:

Northeasterly by a line parallel to and distant 240 feet Northeasterly of, measured at right angles to, the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in

Volume 10, Page 68 of Wood County Map Records;

Southwesterly by a line parallel to and distant 120 feet Northeasterly of, measured at right angles to, said Turnpike center line;

Northwesterly by a line drawn Northeasterly at right angles to said Turnpike center line from Station 232+50 and

Southeasterly by a line drawn Northeasterly at right angles to said Turnpike center line from Station 233+50.

Parcel No. 55-J(2) -- Fee Simple

Situated in the Township of Perrysburg, County of Wood and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Perrysburg Township Section No. 12, Town 4, United States Reserve and bounded and described as follows:

Beginning on a line parallel to and distant 120 feet Southwesterly of, measured at right angles to, the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in Volume 10, Page 68 of Wood County Map Records at a point therein opposite Station 232+50 of said center line survey; thence Northwesterly along said parallel line to its intersection with a line drawn parallel to and distant 125 feet Easterly of, measured at right angles to, the Westerly line of land described as Parcel No. 1 in the Certificate of Transfer in the matter of the Estate of George P. Riehm, dated April 10, 1939 and recorded in Volume 248, Page 482 of Wood County Deed Records; thence Southerly along said parallel line to a point distant 125 feet Easterly of, measured at right angles to, the Westerly line of said Parcel No. 1, from a point therein distant 209.81 feet Southerly of its intersection with said Turnpike center line; thence Southwesterly in a "straight line" to the intersection with a line drawn parallel to and distant 160 feet Southwesterly of, measured at right angles to, the said Turnpike center line, said straight line if prolonged intersecting a point distant 90 feet Easterly of, measured at right angles to, the Westerly line of afore-said Parcel No. 1 from a point therein distant 259.81 feet Southerly of its intersection with said Turnpike center line; thence Southeasterly parallel with said Turnpike center line to the intersection with a line drawn Southwesterly at right angles

to said Turnpike center line from Station 232+50; thence Northeasterly along said right angle line 40 feet to the place of beginning.

Parcel No. 55-J(3) -- Permanent Easement for Highway Purposes

Situated in the Township of Perrysburg, County of Wood and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Perrysburg Township Section No. 12, Town 4, United States Reserve and bounded and described as follows:

Beginning on a line parallel to and distant 120 feet Southwesterly of, measured at right angles to, the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in Volume 10, Page 68 of Wood County Map Records at its intersection with the Westerly line of land described as Parcel No. 1 in the Certificate of Transfer in the matter of the Estate of George P. Riehm, dated April 10, 1939, recorded in Volume 248, Page 482 of Wood County Deed Records; thence Southerly along the Westerly line of said Parcel No. 1 to the Southwesterly corner thereof; thence Easterly along the Southerly line of said Parcel No. 1, 50 feet; thence Northerly to a point distant 55 feet Easterly of, measured at right angles to, the Westerly line of said Parcel No. 1, from a point therein distant 609.81 feet Southerly of its intersection with said Turnpike center line; thence Northerly to a point distant 90 feet Easterly of, measured at right angles to, the Westerly line of said Parcel No. 1, from a point therein distant 259.81 feet Southerly of its intersection with said Turnpike center line; thence Northeasterly to a point distant 125 feet Easterly of, measured at right angles to, the Westerly line of said Parcel No. 1, from a point therein distant 209.81 feet Southerly of its intersection with said Turnpike center line; thence Northerly parallel with the Westerly line of said Parcel No. 1 to the intersection with said line drawn parallel to and distant 120 feet Southwesterly of said Turnpike center line; thence Northwesterly along said parallel line to the place of beginning.

Excepting therefrom that portion thereof lying within the bounds of Oregon Road, as now established.

Parcel No. 55-J(4) -- Permanent Easement for Drainage
Purposes

Situated in the Township of Perrysburg, County of Wood and State of Ohio, and known as being part of Original Perrysburg Township Section No. 12, Town 4, United States Reserve and bounded as follows:

Northeasterly by a line parallel to and distant 120 feet Southwesterly of, measured at right angles to, the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plat recorded in Volume 10, Page 68 of Wood County Map Records;

Southwesterly by a line parallel to and distant 245 feet Southwesterly of, measured at right angles to, said Turnpike center line;

Northwesterly by a line drawn Southwesterly at right angles to said Turnpike center line from Station 232+50 and

Southeasterly by a line drawn Southwesterly at right angles to said Turnpike center line from Station 233+50.

The aforementioned rights to be appropriated are as follows:

First: Any and all abutters' rights, including access rights, appurtenant to any remaining portion of the lands of said owner or owners of which the above-described real estate shall have formed a part prior hereto, in, over, or to the parcel described above as Parcel No. 55-J(2), including such rights to any turnpike constructed thereon.

Second: All rights to erect on any of the aforesaid remaining lands any billboard, sign, notice, poster, or other advertising device which would be visible from the travelway of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and which is not now upon said land."

Resolution No. 14-1954.

"RESOLVED that the Commission has endeavored for a reasonable time to agree with the owner or owners of the property described herein as to the compensation to be paid therefor, but has been unable to agree with said owner or

owners, and said property is needed for the construction and efficient operation of the Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that proceedings be begun and prosecuted to effect the appropriation of the following-described property and the rights hereinafter described, from the following-named owner or owners and persons having interests therein, to-wit:

<u>Owner(s)</u>	<u>Place of Residence</u>
Edith B. Lehman	Canfield, Ohio
H. A. Lehman	Canfield, Ohio
Clarence V. Hutchinson	Brady Township Williams County, Ohio
County Auditor of Williams County	Williams County Court House Bryan, Ohio
County Treasurer of Williams County	Williams County Court House Bryan, Ohio

The aforementioned property to be appropriated is described as follows:

Parcel No. 17-A - 18-D -- Fee Simple

Situated in the Township of Brady, County of Williams and State of Ohio and known as being part of Original Brady Township Section No. 33, Town 8, North, Range 4 East and being all that part of the lands described in the deed to Affidavit of Descent in the matter of the Estate of Delbert E. Hutchinson, a.k.a. Delbert E. Hutchison, dated July 22, 1942 and recorded in Volume 154, page 474 of Williams County Deed Records lying within a strip of land 305 feet wide between parallel lines, the Northerly line of said strip being parallel to and distant 155 feet Northerly, measured on a line normal

to the center line of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, as shown by plats recorded in Volume 5, pages 36 and 37 of Williams County Map Records, and the Southerly line of said strip being parallel to and distant 150 feet Southerly, measured on a line normal to said center line.

The aforementioned rights to be appropriated are as follows:

First: Any and all abutters' rights, including access rights, appurtenant to any remaining portion of the lands of said owner or owners of which the above-described real estate shall have formed a part prior hereto, in, over, or to the above-described real estate, including such rights to any turnpike constructed thereon.

Second: All rights to erect on any of the aforesaid remaining lands any billboard, sign, notice, poster, or other advertising device which would be visible from the travelway of Ohio Turnpike Project No. 1, and which is not now upon said lands."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Linzell, McKay, Allen, Teagarden, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the resolutions adopted.

The Executive Assistant reported that at the invitation of Mr. Slaughter, Chairman of the Michigan Turnpike Commission, he and Mr. E. J. Donnelly, representing the Consulting Engineer, had attended a conference in Kalamazoo, Michigan on January 13, 1954. He said that representatives of the Indiana Toll Road Commission, the Illinois Turnpike Commission and of Cook County, Illinois and the Chairman of the Florida Turnpike Commission also had attended. He said that the representatives of each commission had reported to the group the progress on turnpike projects achieved in

their respective states, and that the Ohio Turnpike Commission had been the only one represented which had actually accomplished something. The Executive Assistant said that Mr. Adams, the Chairman of the Florida Commission, had had in prospect the sale of bonds for the first hundred miles of the Florida Turnpike sometime in the Spring of 1954, and that Mr. Adams had thought that it would be quite logical for the same group to meet with him in Miami just in advance of the sale of those bonds. He said that he did not commit Ohio's Commission to the Miami meeting.

Mr. Teagarden said that he would like to commend the Governor of Ohio for having persuaded Mr. Shocknessy to remain as a member of the Commission. He said that he did not think that any one was in a better position than the members of the Commission and the Governor of Ohio to know the great work that was being done by Mr. Shocknessy. He said that it was the proper time for the people to know that the work that Mr. Shocknessy was doing was being done without any consideration on his part of the time he was devoting to the work. Mr. Teagarden said that there had never been a time that he had called into the Commission's offices for Mr. Shocknessy that he did not find him in the building.

Resolution No. 15-1954, ratifying actions of administrative officers, was moved for adoption by Mr. Teagarden, seconded by Mr. Allen, as follows:

"WHEREAS the executive director, executive assistant, chief engineer, general counsel, assistant general counsel, assistant secretary-treasurer, comptroller, chief of the right-of-way section, and director of information and research of the commission have, by various written and oral communications, fully advised the members of the commission with respect to their official actions taken on behalf of the commission since the commission's last meeting, including, but in nowise limited to, the action of the chief engineer and general counsel in issuing all addenda to contract documents TC-1, TC-2A and TC-2B and the action of the chief engineer and assistant general counsel in issuing all addenda to contracts

RC-1A and RC-1B, and the commission has duly reviewed and considered the same;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

RESOLVED that all official actions taken by the afore-said administrative officers of the commission since the commission's meeting on December 22, 1953, are hereby ratified, approved, and confirmed."

A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Teagarden, Allen, Linzell, Shocknessy.

Nays: McKay.

The Chairman declared the resolution adopted.


There being no further business to come before the Commission a motion was made by Mr. Linzell, seconded by Mr. Teagarden, that the meeting adjourn subject to the call of the Chairman. A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all members responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Linzell, Teagarden, McKay, Allen, Shocknessy.

Nays: None.

The Chairman declared the meeting adjourned. The time of adjournment was 12:30 o'clock P.M.

Approved as a correct transcript of the proceedings of the Ohio Turnpike Commission


A. J. Allen, Secretary-Treasurer