

MINUTES OF THE 327th MEETING OF THE OHIO TURNPIKE COMMISSION

February 24, 1981

Pursuant to bylaws the Ohio Turnpike Commission met in regular session at the BancOhio National Bank Building at 155 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio at 11:00 a.m. on February 24, 1981, with key members of the staff; a representative, William R. Fleischman, of the Consulting Engineers; a representative, P. Joseph Sesler, of the Trustee, BancOhio National Bank; John W. Clark, Special Assistant to the Director of Transportation; Candice Walters, Columbus Dispatch; and others in attendance.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman. The roll was called and the attendance was reported to be as follows:

Present: David L. Weir, Sandra D. Cooke, Ralph H. Anderson
Clarence D. Rogers, Jr.

Absent: Charles R. Pinzone

The Chairman said that the meeting was the 327th of the Ohio Turnpike Commission and it was being held at the BancOhio National Bank Building at the invitation of the Trustee, BancOhio National Bank, for the convenience of the Columbus-area Members and participants.

A motion was made by Mr. Weir, seconded by Mr. Anderson that the minutes of the meeting of December 17, 1980, which had been examined by the Members and on which the corrections suggested by the Members had been made, be approved without reading.

A vote by ayes and nays was taken, and all Members present responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Weir, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Rogers, Mrs. Cooke

Nays: None

The Chairman declared the minutes stood as approved with all Members present voting in the affirmative.

The Chairman reported that, first of all, he wanted to welcome Sandra Cooke of Columbus to her first meeting as a Commission Member. He said Mrs. Cooke was appointed to the Commission by Governor James A. Rhodes on January 28, 1981, to serve the remainder of the unexpired term which became vacant by the resignation of Jo Ann Davidson, who was now a member of the Ohio House of Representatives. He said Mrs. Cooke had taken the required oath and arranged for the necessary bond and, therefore, was a qualified Member and could vote. He said that since this was her first meeting and she was still getting acquainted with the Commission, he would wait to assign her to any committees. He said he looked forward, however, to her participation in the activities of the Commission.

The Chairman reported further that Charles R. Pinzone of Cleveland also was named to the Commission on January 28 by the

Governor. He said that unfortunately, due to a prior commitment, Mr. Pinzone was out of the state and, therefore, was unable to attend the Commission meeting.

The Chairman, at that moment in the meeting, called for a recess in order for the Commission Members, Executive Director Allan V. Johnson, General Counsel Francis K. Cole, and Assistant General Counsel Philip A. Loftus to go to another room in the building to hold an executive session. He said the session was being called to discuss Case No. 23831 then pending in Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court, the Cleveland Plumbing Contractors' Association, Inc., et al versus the Ohio Turnpike Commission and State of Ohio.

(The executive session lasted approximately 25 minutes.)

The Chairman reconvened the meeting and said that on January 30th the Executive Director and several staff members delivered in person copies of the Commission's 1980 annual report to Governor Rhodes' Executive Assistant, Robert Howarth (in the Governor's absence), and also to the offices of the legislative leaders and the Senate and House Clerks. He said the report also was mailed to all members of the Ohio General Assembly, to elected state officials and to newspapers, television and radio stations throughout the state and other interested persons.

The Chairman reported also that he wanted to note that the report on fiscal impact to the Ohio Department of Transportation of the operation of the Turnpike as a free road was prepared for O.D.O.T. and presented to the Ohio General Assembly late in 1980 as required by law. He said the Commission cooperated fully in the preparation of the report. He said the report had generated considerable publicity and editorial comment which supported keeping the Turnpike as a toll road. He said that, no doubt, the Commission eventually would have to take a position on the issue, which certainly was entwined in the financial dilemma of O.D.O.T and the economics of the times. He said he would ask both the Director of Transportation and the Executive Director to comment during their reports.

The Chairman reported also that at that point in his report, he would briefly review the total financial experience for the year of 1980, as well as revenue statistics compiled for the first two months of 1981.

The Chairman reported further that Turnpike revenues totalled \$52,023,858 in 1980, down \$1,763,410 or 3.3% in comparison with 1979. He said an 8.7% drop in tolls from commercial vehicles was the primary cause of the overall reduction in total revenues. He said tolls from passenger cars increased a slight 1.8%. He said, however, it was a significant hike in income from restaurant concessions and investments which averted a greater revenue decline.

The Chairman reported further that Turnpike bonds retired in 1980 amounted to \$6,350,000, a total less than called for in the Trust Agreement bond retirement schedule. He said the Executive Director would address that subject in his report later in the meeting. He said the outstanding debt at the end of 1980 was \$20,508,000.

The Chairman reported further that also in January and February, the Commission's Trustee, BancOhio National Bank, solicited tenders to purchase bonds on the open market. He said that since there had been some difficulty in obtaining bonds in that fashion, he would ask the Trustee to report on the current status of bond retirements during his report.

The Chairman reported also that the request for the consulting engineers to recommend a revision of the toll schedule had been made by the Executive Director as instructed by the Commission at the December meeting. He said copies of the letter of request were sent to the Members.

The Chairman said also that there were four resolutions to be considered by the Commission at the day's meeting. He said drafts of two of the resolutions had been placed in the Members' folders. One of the resolutions awarded a contract for a resurfacing project and tabulations of the bids on that project were also in the folders. He said the final two resolutions would be brought about as a result of the discussion in executive session.

The Chairman said that in the absence of any questions the report of the Chairman was accepted as offered. He said the report of the Secretary-Treasurer, Mr. Anderson, would be received.

Mr. Anderson said that since the last Commission meeting the following had been sent to all Members:

1. Weekly Statistics
2. Traffic Accident Summary Report for Year 1980 and January 1981
3. Investment Transactions which occurred during December 1980 and January 1981
4. Draft of Minutes of the December 17, 1980 Commission Meeting
5. Traffic and Revenue Report for December and Summary for 1980 and January 1981
6. Financial Statements for December 31, 1980 and January 31 1981
7. Expense and Budget Report - Fourth Quarter, 1980
8. Litigation Report for Quarter Ending December 1980

9. Report on Concessionaires - 1980
10. Summary of Bond Purchases - 1980
11. Accountants' Report, Financial Statements -
December 31, 1980

The Chairman said the report of the Secretary-Treasurer was accepted as offered. He said the report of the Committee on Budget and Finance would be received.

The chairman of the Committee on Budget and Finance, Mr. Anderson, said that, first, he wanted to report that the Commission ended the fiscal year 1980 \$1,029,803, or 3.85%, under the budget amount for the year of \$26,732,395. He said that experience and record had been achieved despite the extraordinary inflationary pressures on the economy and the Commission.

Mr. Anderson said further that next, the budget for 1981 which had been adopted by the Commission at the meeting on December 17, 1980, had been distributed to the Trustee, Co-Trustee and principal underwriters and the consulting engineers as required by the Trust Agreement and also to the Ohio Office of Budget and Management, the Legislative Budget Committee of the Ohio Legislative Service Commission and Members of the Ohio General Assembly, as required by law. He said no comment had been received from anyone to whom the distribution had been made.

The Chairman said the report of the Committee on Budget and Finance was accepted as offered. He said the report of the Committee on Service Plazas would be received.

The vice chairman of the Committee on Service Plazas, Mr. Johnson, said the committee had approved restaurant price increases for certain standard menu items. He said it had been the first time those prices had been increased since February 1980 and they were certainly reflections of the inflation and higher costs, as well as the higher minimum wage, which went into effect at the beginning of 1981.

Mr. Johnson said further that the existing restaurant concessionaire contracts, which would otherwise expire on September 30, 1981, contained provisions for their extensions. He said the Howard Johnson Company had requested such a contract extension. He said that request had been made upon the committee's agreement to eliminate the utility expense for the service station portions of the plazas which previously had been an obligation of the restaurant operator. He said the committee had also agreed to eliminate the electricity expense to the restaurant concessionaire for operation of the plaza sewage treatment plants.

Mr. Johnson said further that the committee had considered offering to extend the restaurant contracts beyond the two years

already granted the Howard Johnson Company. He said the Gladieux Corporation had yet to exercise its option to extend its contract, but he thought they would make a decision before the end of February and he would report that decision at the next Commission meeting.

The Chairman said the report of the Committee on Service Plazas was accepted as offered. He said the report of the Committee on Employee Relations would be received.

The secretary of the Committee on Employee Relations, Louis J. Disantis, said that the changes in wages, working conditions, fringe benefits, and the Employee Manual for 1981, which were recommended by the committee following the annual employee discussions and were reported at the December Commission meeting, had been approved and implemented by the Executive Director.

The Chairman said the report of the Committee on Employee Relations was accepted as offered. He said the report of the Director of Transportation would be received.

The Director of Transportation, Mr. Weir, said that, as had been mentioned, the report, which it funded, on the fiscal impact to O.D.O.T. of the operation of the Turnpike as a free road had been completed and presented to the Ohio General Assembly. He said the report had generated a good deal of publicity and general discussion. He said that the report illuminated the current dire financial straits of O.D.O.T. and future money problems should the Turnpike be turned over to the state in compliance with existing laws and agreements.

Mr. Weir said also he had received the latest state taxation report which showed that O.D.O.T. had 20 consecutive months of lost gasoline tax revenue. He said \$24.8 million had been lost in fiscal 1980 and \$20 million to date in fiscal 1981. He said that the department would be down approximately \$75 million in gas tax receipts for the two-year period.

Mr. Weir said further that, based on recent reports, fiscal 1981 projected gasoline tax revenues would be below those received in fiscal 1973, the year of the oil embargo.

The Chairman said the report of the Director of Transportation was accepted as offered. He ascertained there would be no report of the Committee on Safety. He said the report of the Executive Director would be received.

The Executive Director said that, as the Chairman had mentioned, the Commission's staff had fully cooperated with O.D.O.T. in furnishing information that went into developing the Turnpike-impact report. He said the completed report had brought about interesting news stories and newspaper editorials. He said the latter were particularly interesting in that many major newspapers

in the state which historically have taken the stance that the Turnpike should go free after the construction bonds were paid off had now reversed their positions. He said they were saying that, under the current circumstances, it would be unwise for the Turnpike to go free and it should be continued as a toll road.

The Executive Director said further that although he, as chief administrative officer and often spokesman of the Commission's position, had said over the years that the Turnpike should probably become toll free, he had also, of late, said that it would be nothing short of disaster for the road to be turned over to O.D.O.T. in view of the financial dilemma it now faced. He said that he didn't think anyone would argue the point with him, particularly not Mr. Weir, who had publicly voiced similar statements. He said that to go ahead and liquidate the Commission's debt and then drop the Turnpike on O.D.O.T without a great deal of forethought would not be correct. He said that one thing being done was the necessary environmental and engineering studies to qualify the Turnpike for interstate funding, provided that point was reached. He said that there was no guarantee federal funding would be available to upgrade the Turnpike to interstate highway standards should it become toll-free. He said that regardless of whether or not the funds were available at the needed time, failure to complete the environmental and engineering studies would result in disqualifying the road from the program.

The Executive Director said further that the Turnpike-impact study had brought about needed discussion in the Ohio General Assembly. He said already one bill had been introduced in the House of Representatives to continue tolls, a House Joint Resolution proposed to put the issue to a ballot of the voters, and also a Senate Joint Resolution to do the same. He said he expected that, as had been done in the past, he and other staff members would furnish information to the General Assembly, when requested, once hearings on the bills were held.

The Executive Director said also that a resolution had been prepared to adopt the numerical designation and name the Turnpike's new interchange with Interstate 480 in Lorain County. He said the toll plaza would be finished in April.

A resolution adopting the numerical designation and name for the interchange with Interstate Highway 480 was moved for adoption by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Mrs. Cooke, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 1 - 1981

"WHEREAS, a point approximately 0.4 miles westerly from Interchange No. 9 of the Ohio Turnpike has heretofore been designated in accordance with the law as a point of ingress and egress linking the Ohio Turnpike with Interstate Highway 480 to the northeast;

"WHEREAS, said new interchange is at approximate Milepost 151.8 of the Ohio Turnpike in the City of North Ridgeville, Lorain County, Ohio;

"WHEREAS, the Commission has previously named all other existing interchanges on the Ohio Turnpike; and

"WHEREAS, the executive director has recommended that said interchange be numbered Interchange No. 9A and named "North Ridgeville-Cleveland" and the Commission concurs in said recommendation;

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

"RESOLVED that the Commission hereby designates the new interchange between the Ohio Turnpike and Interstate Highway 480 as Interchange No. 9A and adopts "North Ridgeville-Cleveland" as the name for said interchange."

A vote of ayes and nays was taken and all Members present responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Cooke, Mr. Weir, Mr. Rogers

Nays: None

The Chairman declared the resolution stood adopted with all Members present voting in the affirmative. The resolution was identified as No. 1-1981.

The Executive Director said also that a resolution had been prepared to award a contract for mainline resurfacing between mileposts 132.3 and 144.0 in Erie and Lorain Counties. He said that contractors, when preparing their bids, had the option of bidding the project employing the standard procedure, namely grinding off the surface, disposing of it and applying fresh asphalt, or submitting a price for using a recycling process--grinding off the surface, recycling the material and reapplying it as a part of the base course. He said bidders could propose utilizing one process or the other, but not both. He said that, as it turned out, the best and lowest bids received called for using the recycled material. He said he was almost certain that the Turnpike project would be the biggest of its kind in the state wherein the recycling process would be used.

The Executive Director said further that alternate bids were taken for different types of surface course aggregate. He said ordinarily crushed slag was preferred because of its superior skid resistance and, fortunately, the low bid contained provisions for it. He said four bids on the project had been received and they had been reviewed with Mr. Anderson, who he considered to be an expert in the field, since that was the business he was in and Mr. Anderson confirmed that the bids were excellent. He said that he recommended the contract be awarded to the low bidder,

Northern Ohio Paving Company of Twinsburg, who had successfully completed numerous projects on the Turnpike. He said the Commission's staff was quite familiar with the firm's ability to do the work. He said the total amount of the bid was \$5,787,695.75, considerably under the estimate of \$6.5 million.

Mr. Anderson said he had come back especially to review the project and asked the engineers employed by his company to examine the bids on the resurfacing contract. He said they verified the Executive Director's opinion of them, and it was quite evident that the lack of highway work by the state and federal government resulted in very competitive bids being submitted by four fine contractors.

Mr. Weir said that the recycling process used for highway resurfacing was a new way of doing such work, but it had been tried in varying degrees across the country and had become a very acceptable construction method.

The Executive Director said that, before advertising for bids, numerous samples of the present mainline pavement were taken and the asphalt and aggregate contents were tested. He said further the samples were analyzed by a consultant from the Ohio State University Research Center, who also developed the mix specifications. He said everyone was confident that a good product would result from recycling.

A resolution awarding Contract RMP 59-81-01 was moved for adoption by Mr. Weir, seconded by Mr. Anderson as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 2 - 1981

"WHEREAS, the Commission has duly advertised according to law for bids upon a contract for repairs, resurfacing and guardrail improvement of original construction, Sections C-24, C-25, C-26 and C-27 between Milepost 132.3 and Milepost 144.0 in Erie and Lorain Counties, Ohio, which contract is designated Contract RMP 59-81-01, and proof of said advertising, together with the bids received, is before this meeting;

"WHEREAS, four bids for the performance of said contract were received and were duly opened and read as provided in the published notice for said bids;

"WHEREAS, said bids have been analyzed by the Commission's consulting engineer and by its deputy executive director-chief engineer and they have reported thereon with respect to said analyses, and they, and also the Commission's executive director, have made their recommendations predicated upon such analyses;

"WHEREAS, all bids for said contract were solicited on the basis of the same terms and conditions and the same specifications with respect to all bidders and potential bidders, and the bid of Northern Ohio Paving Company of Twinsburg, Ohio, in the amount of \$5,787,695.75 for the performance of Contract RMP 59-81-01, using crushed slag in the surface course and using temporary

access, has been determined by the Commission to be the lowest and best of all bids received, and the Commission has been advised by its general counsel that said bid conforms to the requirements of Section 5537.04 of the Revised Code of Ohio, and to the terms, conditions and specifications and to the legal notice applicable thereto, and accordingly, the Commission may legally accept said bid as the lowest and best of all bids for the performance of the work required under said contract, and of the incidental obligations thereof; and

"WHEREAS, the Commission is satisfied with the capacity of said bidder to perform its obligations pursuant to its proposal;

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

"RESOLVED that the bid of Northern Ohio Paving Company of Twinsburg, Ohio, in the amount of \$5,787,695.75 for the performance of Contract RMP 59-81-01, using crushed slag in the surface course and using temporary access, is, and is by the Commission, determined to be the lowest and best of all bids received for the performance of said contract, and is accepted, and that the chairman and executive director, or either of them, hereby is authorized (1) to execute a contract with said successful bidder in the form heretofore prescribed by the Commission pursuant to the aforesaid bid, (2) to direct the return to the other bidders of their bid security, and (3) to take any and all action necessary or proper to carry out the terms of said bid and of said contract."

A vote of ayes and nays was taken and all Members present responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Weir, Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Cooke, Mr. Rogers

Nays: None

The Chairman declared the resolution stood adopted with all Members present voting in the affirmative. The resolution was identified as No. 2-1981.

The Executive Director said that, as the Chairman has previously mentioned, the Commission had adopted a resolution requesting that the consulting engineers study the Turnpike's toll structure for possible revisions in order to comply with provisions of the Trust Agreement regarding bond retirements. He said that he did not know when the study would be completed, but thought it would not take a lot of time because a great deal of information was available from the numerous studies and traffic projections that have been going on involving the Turnpike, most of which the consulting engineers had participated in.

The Executive Director said also that, as the Members were aware, he had received a letter from State Auditor Thomas E. Ferguson

under date of December 26, 1980, and addressed to former Commission Chairman O. L. Teagarden. He said Mr. Ferguson had objected to any increase in Turnpike tolls. He said he was bringing up the subject because a representative of the Auditor's office, Dan Hollern, was attending the Commission meeting. He said Mr. Hollern had attended Commission meetings in the past.

The Executive Director said further that he had written a letter of reply to Mr. Ferguson dated December 29, 1980, and assured him that the Commission was not being overly protective of the remaining bondholders in seeking an examination of the toll structure, as Mr. Ferguson had contended in his letter. He said he wrote Mr. Ferguson that the Commission was acting in compliance with the Trust Agreement and wanted to make sure that the fiscal and financial reputation of the Commission was maintained. He said he would give the Members a timetable for the study at the next Commission meeting, probably in April.

The Executive Director said also that he would briefly mention the topic discussed in executive session before additional comments by General Counsel. He said the topic discussed had to do with the contracts and bidding that was done for projects to install fuel storage tanks, underground fuel distribution systems and fuel dispensers at the Turnpike's 16 service plazas.

The Executive Director said further that the plans were finished, the projects advertised and bids were received the week before the Commission meeting. He said the Commission had given him the authority to award the contracts on the expectation that the bids might be received at a time when it would not be convenient to hold a Commission meeting. He said he had been anxious to make the awards, but, right in the midst of the advertising process, the Commission had been named a party in a suit which initially attempted to prevent the opening of bids. He said that as a result of a preliminary hearing the court had allowed the bids to be opened and, just after the bids were opened, another court hearing was held which was attended by the Chairman and General Counsel, while he had been out of the state. He said he would ask General Counsel to explain further what had happened.

General Counsel said that, to begin, the Commission was sued in Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court by the Cleveland Plumbing Contractors Association, Inc., Mechanical Contractor's Association of Cleveland, Inc., the T. O. Murphy Co., Ohio State Conference of NECA (National Electrical Contractors Association) Chapters, and W. E. Olson Electric, Inc. He said the purpose of the suit was to enjoin the awarding of the service plaza contracts, namely RMP 53-80-05, A, B, C and D, respectively. He said that although he felt the Commission had a meritorious defense to the suit, nevertheless, it was his opinion that it would not be in the best interests of the Commission to proceed with the awards. He said that, with the present posture of the case, there was no

real alternative but to reject all bids and find some further action to take to accomplish the object of the contracts.

The Chairman said that with that in mind he requested that a resolution be prepared to be presented to the Commission for the purpose of rejecting all bids to install fuel storage tanks, fuel distribution systems and fuel dispensers. He said another resolution would be needed to authorize the Executive Director to vigorously pursue the projects.

A resolution rejecting all bids for Contracts RMP 53-80-05, A, B, C, and D was moved for adoption by Mrs. Cooke, seconded by Mr. Anderson, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 3-1981

"WHEREAS, the Commission has heretofore advertised for bids for each of Contracts RMP 53-80-05, A, B, C, and D, respectively, and has received bids for each of said contracts pursuant to such advertisements;

"WHEREAS, a suit for injunction has been filed against the Commission by The Cleveland Plumbers' Association, Inc., Mechanical Contractors' Association of Cleveland, Inc., The T. O. Murphy Co., Ohio State Conference of NECA Chapters and W. E. Olson Electric, Inc., seeking to enjoin any award of any of said contracts, such suit being No. 23831 in the Common Pleas Court of Cuyahoga County;

"WHEREAS, the Commission upon consideration of advice of its general counsel has determined that, notwithstanding the merits of the Commission's defense to said lawsuit, award of any of said contracts would not be in the best interests of the Commission and that the present posture of said litigation renders rejection of all said bids necessary;

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

"RESOLVED that the bids for each of Contracts RMP 53-80-05, Group A; RMP 53-80-05, Group B; RMP 53-80-05, Group C; and RMP 53-80-05, Group D, be, and they hereby are, rejected."

A vote of ayes and nays was taken and all Members present responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mrs. Cooke, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Weir, Mr. Rogers

Nays: None

The Chairman declared the resolution stood adopted with all Members present voting in the affirmative. The resolution was identified as No. 3-1981.

A resolution authorizing the Executive Director to initiate further action with respect to service plaza work for which bids were rejected was moved for adoption by Mr. Weir, seconded by Mrs. Cooke, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 4 - 1981

"WHEREAS, the Commission has found it necessary to reject all bids for Contracts RMP 53-80-05, A, B, C and D, respectively and has done so by Resolution No. 3-1981; and

"WHEREAS, the Commission desires to provide for the performance of the necessary work which would have been performed under the aforesaid contracts, if they had been awarded;

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT

"RESOLVED that the executive director be, and he hereby is, authorized to study the best or most desirable method of obtaining the performance of the work generally described in said contracts for which bids were rejected, to prepare documents accordingly and to take such further action as he shall deem appropriate and proper to secure the performance of the aforesaid work."

A vote of ayes and nays was taken and all Members present responded to roll call. The vote was as follows:

Ayes: Mr. Weir, Mrs. Cooke, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Rogers

Nays: None

The Chairman declared the resolution stood adopted with all Members present voting in the affirmative. The resolution was identified as No. 4-1981.

The Chairman said the report of General Counsel was accepted as offered. He ascertained there would be no reports from the Consulting Engineers or the Director of Information and Research. He said the report of the Trustee would be received.

Mr. Sesler said the Trustee had Turnpike bond tender offers for settlement on February 19, 1981. He said face amount of bonds were purchased totalling \$1,195,000. He said the high price was 101, which was the call price, and the low price was 74. He said the average was 100.423. He said the Trustee still had sufficient monies to buy another \$950,000 in bonds and another tender offer would be taken on March 12, 1981. He said that as far as the bonds still outstanding on the calls, \$250,000 called on December 1, 1978, were outstanding and little over \$1 million were outstanding on the December 1, 1979, call. He said that it seemed that more of the called bonds were coming in when tenders were accepted, which was another indication that a lot of people were not aware their bonds had been called. He said the Trustee would continue to accept tenders and eventually most of the called bonds would be acquired.

The Chairman said the Commission would next meet sometime in April, a date and time to be determined after consultation with the Members.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, a motion was made by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Mrs. Cooke that the meeting adjourn, subject to call of the Chairman.


A vote by ayes and nays was taken and all Members present responded to roll call.

Ayes: Mr. Anderson, Mrs. Cooke, Mr. Weir, Mr. Rogers

Nays: None

The Chairman declared the meeting adjourned. Time of adjournment was 12:27 p.m.

Approved as a correct transcript of the proceedings of the Ohio Turnpike Commission



Allan V. Johnson
Assistant Secretary Treasurer